

**ADVANCE SOCIAL SCIENCE ARCHIVE JOURNAL**Available Online: <https://assajournal.com>

Vol. 03 No. 02. April-June 2025. Page#. 2642-2649

Print ISSN: [3006-2497](#) Online ISSN: [3006-2500](#)Platform & Workflow by: [Open Journal Systems](#)**The Impact and Influence of CISG on International and Domestic Sales Law****Fraz Ashraf Khan**

Asst. Professor, Department of Law, University of the Punjab, Jhelum Campus

frazashraf@pujc.edu.pk**Muhammad Hassaan Sohail**

Lecturer Department of Law, University of Chenab

Abstract

This paper examines the United Nations Convention on Contracts for the International Sale of Goods (CISG), highlighting its substantial influence and practical application in the evolution of both international and local sales law. More than 90 countries have signed the CISG since it became law in 1980. This makes it the global standard for international business deals. The research investigates the Convention's effectiveness in harmonizing legal frameworks, its integration into national reforms, and its role in improving predictability and legal certainty in international trade. This paper examines diverse case law to demonstrate the interpretation and application of the CISG by courts and arbitral tribunals worldwide, thereby promoting a genuinely universal jurisprudential framework. The discourse also looks at criticisms, such as different interpretations by different countries, gaps in coverage, and problems that modern internet trade brings up. Recent events, like how people reacted to global problems like the COVID-19 epidemic, are looked at to see how adaptable and still useful the Convention is. The research finds that the CISG acts as a legal lingua franca by bringing together scholarly commentary, legislative analysis, and real-world case outcomes. This makes it easier for people to do business across borders, reduces transactional friction, and shapes the growth of contract law in a rapidly globalizing economy.

Keywords: CISG, Sales of Goods, UNO, International Law, Economy, Globalization**Introduction and Background**

The United Nations Convention on Contracts for the International Sale of Goods (CISG) has been a huge success, even better than what its most passionate supporters had hoped for. More than 90 countries have now ratified, adopted, or supported this agreement. Most of the main trading nations are on board, with the exception of Japan and England (Office of Legal Affairs, 2005; UNCITRAL, 2024). Many cases decided by state courts or arbitration tribunals are recorded in electronic databases or legal journals (CISG-Online, n.d.), and comprehensive commentaries in multiple languages, such as English, Swedish, Polish, and German, have analyzed the convention's provisions in connection with the reviewed cases. It is impossible to keep track of all the articles that legal authors write for law books, journals, and anthologies (Ferrari, 2017; Schlechtriem & Schwenzer, 2022). Many law students around the world learn about international sales law, and many of them become experts in the CISG by taking part in the annual Willem C. Vis moot competition, which has become a key place for learning and studying the CISG (Mistelis, 2017). The following essay looks at how well the CISG 1980 worked and how it changed sales law in both the US and other countries.

Success of the CISG

There are a number of reasons that are connected to the CISG's great success. The text is of the highest quality, and the people who wrote it are experts who carefully adjusted its provisions during the extended "gestation period" by doing thorough comparative evaluations of domestic sales laws (Schwenzer, 2022; Huber & Mullis, 2007). This approach relied on substantial input from scholars, professionals, governments, and international entities, such as the International Chamber of Commerce, to guarantee that the Convention accurately represented the realities of global trade rather than abstract theories (Fontaine, 2004). The drafters of the CISG were able to successfully counter the claim that the Convention was made by theorists who were not involved in business. The fact that the CISG is widely accepted around the world shows that it works. As of April 2024, 97 countries have signed the CISG. Some of the biggest trading countries that have signed it are the United States, China, Germany, Japan, Brazil, and Russia. This large group of members is responsible for more than two-thirds of the world's commerce in goods (UNCITRAL, 2024; Ferrari, 2022). The Convention has a global scope, which means that it covers a large number of international commercial transactions. It gives people from different legal traditions and cultures a common legal framework (Lookofsky, 2022).

The CISG's practical usefulness is shown even more by the strong body of case law and arbitral awards that explain its rules. The CISG has been used in thousands of court and arbitration rulings around the world, which has helped create a consistent and predictable set of rules for foreign sales (Kröll, Mistelis & Perales Viscasillas, 2011; CISG-online, 2024). The Convention has changed the way courts work, which has made the law more certain and lowered the chance of unexpected results in cross-border conflicts (Schwenzer & Hachem, 2019). The CISG has also been used as a model for changes to laws in many countries and regions. The UNIDROIT Principles of International Commercial Contracts, the Principles of European Contract Law, and revisions in Germany, China, and Brazil (Bonell, 2009; Vogenauer, 2015; Schlechtriem & Schwenzer, 2016) have all been based on its ideas. For example, the German law of obligations reform in 2002 included a number of ideas that came directly from the CISG.

Empirical studies validate the CISG's pragmatic benefits for enterprises. Studies indicate that the Convention lowers transaction costs by providing a neutral and globally acknowledged legal framework, so diminishing the necessity for protracted negotiations regarding relevant law and enhancing predictability for contracting parties (Andersen, 2016; Zeller, 2022). International traders with varied legal backgrounds like the CISG because it is flexible and lets parties choose whether or not to use it or change its rules (DiMatteo, 2014). Many top scholars and practitioners support the CISG and say it has worked well to provide a fair, modern, and balanced legal system for international sales (Schwenzer, 2022; Ferrari, 2022). International organizations like the International Chamber of Commerce and UNCITRAL frequently encourage people to utilize and learn about the CISG, which makes it an even more important part of global trade (Fontaine, 2004; UNCITRAL, 2024).

Research Methodology

This study employs a qualitative, doctrinal research methodology, focusing on the methodical analysis of main and secondary legal sources related to the CISG. Primary sources include the formal text of the CISG, national laws that put the Convention into effect, and a large number of judicial and arbitration decisions from many different countries. Secondary sources consist of academic commentaries, journal articles, and authoritative treatises that provide interpretative

insights and critical evaluations of the CISG's provisions and their practical ramifications. We used legal databases like CISG-Online, CLOUT, and UNILEX to find relevant decisions and scholarly articles, which gave us a strong foundation for comparative legal analysis.

The study uses comparative and critical approaches in conjunction with doctrinal analysis to evaluate the CISG's influence on national law changes and its adoption within various legal traditions. We look at case examples from important countries that have accepted, changed, or chosen not to implement the CISG to show how interpretations and applications can be both similar and different. The study analyzes recent academic and policy debates over the CISG's suitability for contemporary challenges, such as digital commerce and global disruptions, to assess the Convention's continued significance and the challenges it faces within a transforming international legal context.

The Impact of the CISG on International and Regional Harmonization

The CISG has had an impact on a number of worldwide efforts to bring commercial and general contract law rules together or make them more similar (Garro, 2014). The core tenets of the CISG have influenced the progress of global or regional unification and harmonization efforts on two levels. Articles 1–7 have always been a good example of how to apply for something. Second, its substantive law requirements for the parties participating in an exchange contract, especially those that apply to sales contracts, had a big effect on these kinds of projects (Bridge, 2017). In international agreements, draft conventions, and model laws concerning specific cross-border contracts, the primary criterion is invariably the requirements for applicability. The predecessors of the CISG, ULIS and ULFIS, set up a complicated set of rules for how to use uniform law. Article 1(1)(a) of the CISG makes this easier by saying that the parties must have their places of business in different contracting nations. Also, Article 1(1)(b) says that the law of a contracting state can be used if the forum's conflict of law rules say so (Schlechtriem & Schwenger, 2022). This has shown to be quite effective, with the major condition of "internationality"—that the parties do business in different jurisdictions—serving as the main, if not only, factor for the application of a uniform law. For example, Article 3 of the UN Convention on the Limitation Period in the International Sale of Goods (1974), as amended by the Protocol of 11 April 1980; the 2001 Convention on the Assignment of Receivables in International Trade; and the Model Law on International Commercial Arbitration (UNCITRAL, 2016) all use this idea.

Uniform law simply provides verbal consistency at first, and there is always the chance that lawyers and legal writers would interpret it according to their own country's laws. Article 7 of the CISG offers several safeguards to prevent the "re-nationalization" of international uniform law by establishing interpretive standards and enabling gap-filling. These have also become almost standard terms in international agreements (Schwenzer, 2022; Magnus, 2012). In relation to these European projects, the CISG seems to have a bigger effect in Europe. This is supported by the important EC Directive 1999/44/EC "on certain aspects of the sale of consumer goods and associated guarantees," which uses the CISG's definition of "conformity of goods" to include this important idea in the legal sales frameworks of member states (Perales Viscasillas, 2019). The Organisation for the Harmonization of Business Law in Africa (OHADA) also passed Uniform Rules for Contracts that were quite similar to the UNIDROIT Principles and, by extension, the CISG (Fontaine, 2004).

Influence of the CISG on Domestic Sales Laws and Reforms

The CISG has influenced the development and modification of domestic laws through many

channels, particularly the implementation of EC directives (Schlechtriem & Schwenzer, 2022). The CISG has become the law in several nations for both international and local commerce. The Scandinavian countries are the best examples, even though each one has its own way of doing things (Lookofsky, 2002). Sweden and Finland enacted the CISG with local sales laws developed from it, whereas Norway adopted a unified sales law—Kjøpsloven—for both international and domestic transactions.

The Tokelau Islands and many post-socialist governments have looked at the CISG as a model for their own laws (Li, 2015). The Estonian Law of Obligations Act (LOA) shows how the CISG has affected countries outside of the EU (Schlechtriem & Schwenzer, 2022). The transition of former socialist regimes and their admission into the EU highlights the CISG's impact on the reform and evolution of local legislation covering sales and contractual agreements broadly. The German Schuldrechtsreform, which started in the 1980s, was heavily influenced by the ULIS and ULFIS standard laws from the beginning and later by the CISG (Kröll et al., 2011). The Chinese Contract Law was impacted by the CISG, as shown by the comparison of both Chinese law and the CISG (Li, 2015).

Practical Application and Case Law

The CISG is really being used in international business litigation and arbitration, with thousands of decisions made by courts and arbitral tribunals around the world. The provisions are an important guide for deciding disagreements between people from different contracting states. The case law is always changing, creating a living body of international law (CISG-Online, n.d.; Schlechtriem & Schwenzer, 2022). The CISG has made things much more predictable by creating a consistent set of rules. This has reduced the legal uncertainty that often happens when people come from different legal systems. For example, the Spanish court of first instance in Tudela used CISG rules to decide a case about whether goods were in compliance with the law on March 29, 2005. This shows how the Convention has affected how judges think and how business practices are standardized (CISG-Online, n.d.). The CISG has also served as a "lingua franca" of sales law, making it easier for attorneys and judges from different jurisdictions to talk to each other by using the same legal language. This has made it less necessary to hire expensive and time-consuming experts to testify about foreign law (Schwenzer, 2022).

The actual use of the CISG has a big effect on schooling. Law schools all across the world use the CISG as an important part of their courses. The Willem C. Vis International Commercial Arbitration Moot and other international moot competitions have introduced thousands of students to real-world problems under the Convention (Mistelis, 2017). These moot courts simulate commercial conflicts and require participants to scrutinize and defend complex CISG regulations, therefore promoting uniformity in techniques and interpretations across countries. The growth of digital databases such as CLOUT and UNILEX has made CISG case law easy to find, which has improved comparative legal research and the sharing of best practices (Ferrari, 2017). As a result, national courts are increasingly referencing international case law and scholarly study, thereby improving the consistency and predictability of the CISG framework.

Critiques and Challenges

Despite its substantial accomplishments, the CISG confronts criticism and persistent problems that affect its global implementation. A major critique is that important trading countries, especially the UK and Japan, are not included, which weakens the Convention's claim of worldwide uniformity (Bridge, 2017). There are several reasons why people don't want to accept

it, including as the belief that domestic sales legislation is good enough, fears about giving up legal sovereignty, and doubts about the need for more harmonization. Among ratifying states, divergent interpretation methodologies have emerged, with certain courts reverting to domestic legal doctrines or exhibiting reluctance to acknowledge the international character of the CISG (Magnus, 2012). This tendency, sometimes called the "re-nationalization" of uniform legislation, could hurt the CISG's goal of making laws more consistent (Schwenzer, 2022).

The Convention's deliberate exclusion of certain matters, including as contract validity, property rights in commodities, and the regulation of consumer contracts, presents an additional obstacle. This minimalist approach seeks to facilitate adoption by circumventing difficult issues; yet, it may lead to significant flaws in cross-border commercial conflicts (Schroeter, 2016). People have said that the CISG's rules of fundamental breach, damages, and notification requirements are too vague and lead to different court decisions (Flechtner, 2020; Zeller, 2018). The interpretation and use of Article 79 concerning liability exemption have produced uneven results regarding force majeure and hardship, prompting calls for clearer guidance and reform (Schwenzer, 2022). The rise of online shopping and new ways to sign contracts digitally poses significant problems for the CISG, which was written before these technologies were invented and so doesn't address many current difficulties.

Recent Developments

The CISG has changed in recent years because of legal study and real-world experience. New case law and scholarly commentary have made things clearer and made the Convention more relevant to today's business world. The COVID-19 pandemic and the resulting global supply chain disruptions have tested the CISG's rules on force majeure and hardship. This has led courts and arbitration tribunals to look at Article 79 in light of these unusual situations (Schwenzer, 2022; Flechtner, 2020). This has sparked more scholarly debate over whether the Convention's liability exemption structure is good enough and whether more guidance or changes are needed to deal with pandemics and other global catastrophes (Kröll et al., 2011). As trade becomes more digital, it is important to look into how the CISG applies to electronic communications, smart contracts, and blockchain transactions. There have also been calls for more protocols or interpretive guidelines (Schroeter, 2016; UNCITRAL, 2016).

The CISG is having more and more of an effect on changes to the law in both the US and other countries. Many countries, especially in Eastern Europe, Asia, and Africa, have changed their sales laws or general contract regulations to fit with the ideas of the CISG (Li, 2015; Vogenauer, 2015). The Convention has also helped shape important soft law tools, like the UNIDROIT Principles of International Commercial Contracts and the Principles of European Contract Law (Bonell, 2009; Fontaine, 2004). These changes show that the CISG is still important and adaptable since its basic ideas, such party autonomy, good faith, and the foreseeability restriction on damages, are being used in new regional and national systems. In addition, instructional efforts, international conferences, and the sharing of in-depth commentary have helped lawyers and scholars all around the world understand the CISG better and more consistently.

The Path Ahead

The CISG faces both opportunities and challenges in maintaining its status as the cornerstone of international sales law. There is little doubt that it has a long history of helping people settle disputes fairly and effectively, boosting trade between countries, and standardizing legal methods (Schlechtriem & Schwenzer, 2022). The CISG must continue to adapt to the realities of digital

trade, settle differences in how it is interpreted, and encourage more countries who don't sign it to recognize it. For the CISG's legacy to live on and grow, it is important that UNCITRAL and other international organizations keep working to promote the Convention, give assistance on how to interpret it, and encourage communication between judges and lawyers (UNCITRAL, 2024). The CISG's role as a unifying force in international business law is still very important as the world economy becomes more connected. It helps parties from different legal systems do business with confidence, clarity, and a shared understanding of their rights and duties.

Key Concepts: Damages and Remedies

A demand for damages is the main way to fix a breach of contract. The CISG is based on three main parts: conditions of obligation and justifications, assessment of damages, and limiting of recoverable losses (the "foreseeability test") (Zeller, 2018). The limiting of recoverable losses by the foreseeability rule and conditions and justifications have been included in the aforementioned efforts and domestic legislation. The basic idea behind the idea of uncontrollable obstacles (also known as "force majeure") has become popular and can be seen in the UNIDROIT Principles (Art. 7.1.7), the European Principles of Contract Law (Art. 8:108), and new reform codes like § 117(2) of the Chinese Contract Law and § 103(2) of the Estonian LOA (Vogenauer, 2015; Li, 2015).

Conclusion

The CISG is not a failure; it is a big success. The information given is just a start; further research would reveal further examples of how CISG ideas have affected both international and local progress, leading to a worldwide lingua franca of legal principles (Schwenzer, 2022). This makes it easier for people to talk to each other legally, lowers the costs of settling disputes, and makes international trade more predictable. The CISG's lasting impact as a framework for bringing sales laws into line shows how important it is for international trade. In short, the CISG has been successful because it was well-written, widely adopted around the world, well-received by courts and arbitral tribunals, had an impact on law change, was useful in business, and had strong support from academics and professionals. These reasons have made sure that the CISG not only directly rules a lot of foreign sales, but it also sets a standard for future efforts to make contract law around the world more consistent.

References

A.S. Hartkamp. *Verbintenissenrecht*. Deel 1, Deventer: Kluwer 2004, p. 20

Additional APA References

Andersen, C. B. (2016). "The CISG in National Courts." In *The CISG and Its Impact on National Legal Systems*, 25–49.

Art. 75 CISG 1980

B. Nicholas, *Prerequisites and Extent of Liability for Breach of Contract under the UN-Convention*. –P. Schlechtriem (ed.). *Einheitliches Kaufrecht und nationales Obligationenrecht*, Baden-Baden: Nomos 1987, p. 287.

Bonell, M. J. (2009). "The CISG, European Contract Law and the Development of a World Contract Law."

Bonell, M. J. (2009). *An international restatement of contract law: The UNIDROIT principles of international commercial contracts* (3rd ed.). Transnational Publishers.

Bridge, M. (2017). *The international sale of goods* (4th ed.). Oxford University Press.

CISG-online (2024). "CISG Case Law Database." <https://ciscg-online.org/>

- CISG-Online. (n.d.). Case law on the CISG. Retrieved from <https://www.cisg-online.org>
- CLOUT, UNILEX, at <http://www.cisg-online.ch/>
- DiMatteo, L. A. (2014). *International Sales Law: A Global Challenge*. Cambridge University Press.
- Ferrari, F. (2017). The CISG and its impact on national legal systems. *International Review of Law and Economics*, 49, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.irle.2016.06.004>
- Ferrari, F. (2022). “The CISG and Its Impact on National Legal Systems.” In *International Sale of Goods: A Private International Law Comparative and Prospective Analysis*.
- Flechtner, H. M. (2020). CISG: A fair balance of interests? *Uniform Law Review*, 25(2), 195–210. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ulr/umaa009>
- Fontaine, M. (2004). “The International Chamber of Commerce and the CISG.”
- Garro, A. M. (2014). The CISG’s contribution to the development of international commercial law. *Pace International Law Review*, 26(2), 345–374.
- Huber, P. & Mullis, A. (2007). *The CISG: A New Textbook for Students and Practitioners*. Sellier.
- Huber, P., & Mullis, A. (2007). *The CISG: A new textbook for students and practitioners*. Sellier European Law Publishers.
- Internationales UN-Kaufrecht*, third edition, Li Huang, 2005 by Peking University Press
- J. Lookofsky, *Understanding the CISG in Scandinavia*, second edition. Copenhagen 2002, para. 1, J. Lookofsky. *The Scandinavian Experience*. – F. Ferrari (ed.). *The Uniform Sales Law. Old Issues Revisited in the Light of Recent Experiences*. Milan 2003, pp. 95–127.
- Kritzer, A. H. (2008). *Guide to practical applications of the United Nations Convention on Contracts for the International Sale of Goods*. Kluwer Law International.
- Kröll, S., Mistelis, L., & Perales Viscasillas, M. (Eds.). (2011). *UN Convention on Contracts for the International Sale of Goods (CISG): Commentary*. Hart Publishing.
- L’Organisation pour l’Harmonisation en Afrique du Droit des Affaires was founded by a treaty signed on 17 October 1993.
- Li, H. (2015). *Comparative analysis of Chinese contract law and the CISG*. Peking University Press.
- Lookofsky, J. (2022). *Understanding the CISG*. Kluwer Law International.
- Lustus Forlag 1998, *A Critical Analysis of Some of the Early Cases* – M. Andreas, N. Jarborg (eds.). *Anglo-Swedish Studies in Law*, p. 326 ET passim.
- M. Fontaine. *Le projet d’Acte uniforme OHADA sur les contrats et les Principes UNIDROIT relatifs aux contrats du commerce international*. – *Uniform Law Review* 2004 (262) 2, p. 253
- Magnus, U. (2012). The CISG’s impact on European contract law. *European Review of Private Law*, 20(4), 863–878.
- Mistelis, L. (2017). The Willem C. Vis International Commercial Arbitration Moot: A learning experience. *Journal of International Arbitration*, 34(2), 239–248.
- Office of Legal Affairs, Treaty Section, United Nations, NY 2005. Available at: http://www.uncitral.org/uncitral/en/uncitraltexts/sale/goods/1980CISG_status.html.
- Perales Viscasillas, M. (2019). The CISG’s impact on Spanish and Latin American law. In F. Ferrari & C. Villanova (Eds.), *The CISG and Latin America* (pp. 45–67). Eleven International Publishing.
- Piltz, B. (2008). *Internationales Kaufrecht* (3rd ed.). C.H. Beck.
- S.104 of Chinese Contract Law, defining the levels of culpability
- Schlechtriem, P. & Schwenzer, I. (2016). *Commentary on the UN Convention on the International Sale of Goods (CISG)*. 4th ed., Oxford University Press.
- Schlechtriem, P., & Schwenzer, I. (Eds.). (2022). *Commentary on the UN Convention on the*

International Sale of Goods (CISG) (5th ed.). Oxford University Press.

Schroeter, U. G. (2016). CISG and electronic commerce. In J. Kleineman (Ed.), *Transnational sales contract law* (pp. 112–132). Oxford University Press.

Schwenzer, I. (2022). Successes and challenges of the CISG. *Journal of Law and Commerce*, 40, 1–20.

Schwenzer, I., & Hachem, P. (2019). “CISG Successes and Pitfalls: Empirical Data and Legal Analysis.” *Journal of Law and Commerce* 37(1): 1–14.

The directive of 1996, KOM (1995), p. 520, and in the response of the EC Commission to the proposals of the Parliament of 19 January 1999, KOM (1999) p. 16

UNCITRAL (2024). “Status: United Nations Convention on Contracts for the International Sale of Goods (Vienna, 1980).”

<https://uncitral.un.org/en/texts/salegoods/conventions/saleofgoods/cisg/status>

UNCITRAL. (2016). *Guide on the Convention on the International Sale of Goods (CISG)*. United Nations.

United Nations Commission on International Trade Law (UNCITRAL). (2024). CISG: Status. Retrieved from <https://uncitral.un.org/en/texts/salegoods/conventions/saleofgoods/cisg/status>

Vogenauer, S. (2015). “The CISG’s Impact on International and Domestic Law Reform.”

Vogenauer, S. (2015). *Commentary on the UNIDROIT principles of international commercial contracts (PICC)*. Oxford University Press.

W. Rolland in L. Haas, D. Medicus, W. Rolland, C. Schäfer, H. Wendland. *Das neue Schuldrecht*. Munich: C.H. Beck 2002, p. 2 et passim

Zeller, B. (2018). *Damages under the Convention on Contracts for the International Sale of Goods* (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press.

Zeller, B. (2022). “The CISG and International Commercial Practice.” In *International Sales Law*.