



ADVANCE SOCIAL SCIENCE ARCHIVE JOURNAL

Available Online: <https://assajournal.com>

Vol. 04 No. 02. Oct-Dec 2025. Page# 3929-3936

Print ISSN: [3006-2497](#) Online ISSN: [3006-2500](#)Platform & Workflow by: [Open Journal Systems](#)

The Domino Effect of Inequity and Inefficiency: Impacts on Customer Experience, AI Integration, Employee Isolation, and Overall Work

Dr. Arif Khan

Assistant Professor, Department Political Science, University of Buner

arif@ubuner.edu.pk

Abstract

The advances in digital technologies, artificial intelligence (AI), and contemporary management approaches have progressed significantly, numerous organizations particularly in emerging economies continue to struggle with genuine modernization efforts. While firms frequently highlight the adoption of cutting-edge digital solutions and upgraded physical environments, these superficial enhancements frequently conceal persistent structural flaws and cultural shortcomings. This research investigates the gap between superficial modernization and genuine modernization by exploring six persistent barriers: gender disparities, malfunctioning organizational processes, eroding customer satisfaction, flawed AI integration, misuse of power, and worker disconnection. Drawing on an ethnographic methodology supported by relevant scholarly sources, the analysis reveals how tokenistic modernization falls short without ethical leadership, inclusive organizational norms, fair human resource policies, and a climate of psychological safety. Findings indicate that technological upgrades alone are insufficient for meaningful transformation; success demands investment in human capital, compassionate and transparent leadership, transparent systems, and equitable work environments. The study concludes with practical recommendations for building people-centered, ethically grounded, and resilient organizations capable of effectively leveraging technology.

Keywords: Organizational Modernization, Gender Disparities, Organizational Processes, Workplace Culture, AI Integration Challenges, Power Misuse, Customer Satisfaction, Worker Disconnection.

Introduction

Contemporary organizations operate in an era of unprecedented access to global knowledge, advanced management theories, digital technologies, and artificial intelligence (Michael, 2024). Current leaders possess greater awareness of worldwide best practices than any previous generation (Kouzes et al., 2024). In theory, this abundance of resources should enable rapid and seamless organizational modernization. In practice, however, modernization initiatives frequently fail, particularly in environments shaped by entrenched hierarchical structures, informal decision-making processes, and deeply rooted cultural power dynamics (Hassan et al., 2025).

A striking paradox emerges when companies introduce new software platforms, implement performance dashboards, or adopt AI-enhanced systems, yet leave core ethical frameworks, organizational culture, and leadership behaviors largely unchanged (Häikiö & Heikkilä, 2024). This disconnect widens the divide between technological advancement and genuine human-centered progress. Despite rapid improvements in tools and systems, employees often remain trapped in outdated structures that suppress innovation, reduce transparency, and compromise well-being. The problem is especially pronounced in developing economies, where labor protections are limited, informal power holders dominate decision-making, and human

resource policies frequently lack fairness or consistency (Parker et al., 2025). Many organizations treat modernization as a cosmetic exercise renovating physical spaces, deploying automation technologies, or restructuring departments while neglecting the deeper, more essential dimensions of workplace culture, ethical integrity, accountability, and inclusiveness (Dupuis & Massicotte, 2025).

This study tackles these shortcomings by investigating six critical barriers that obstruct authentic modernization and threaten organizational efficiency, equity, and long-term sustainability (Nyakomtah, 2024). A central concern is persistent gender inequity, which restricts diverse perspectives in decision-making and perpetuates systemic discrimination against women (Ali, 2024). Structural deficiencies in organizational systems commonly generate operational inefficiencies and disrupt seamless functioning, ultimately degrading customer experience as clients expect reliable and high-quality service delivery (Pacheco et al., 2024). Another pressing issue is the mismanagement of artificial intelligence, where inadequate oversight or inappropriate application can create ethical dilemmas and operational vulnerabilities (Jeleel, 2024). Furthermore, the abuse of authority where power is exercised for personal gain rather than organizational good undermines institutional integrity, destroys trust, and fuels stakeholder dissatisfaction. Finally, employee isolation, stemming from inadequate communication, exclusionary practices, or widespread disengagement, stifles creativity, weakens collaboration, and jeopardizes sustained organizational performance (Figueiredo et al., 2025). Collectively, these interrelated challenges highlight the pressing need for reforms that prioritize accountability, fairness, and responsible leadership. The research adopts an autoethnographic methodology, combining personal lived experience with rigorous academic analysis, to illuminate how these barriers coexist and reinforce one another within real-world organizational settings.

Review of the Literature

Huang and Wu (2025) argue that organizational culture serves as the primary driver of sustained behavior within enterprises. When culture does not evolve alongside technological advancements, modernization efforts become largely performative rather than substantive. This view is reinforced by the cultural dimensions framework, which highlights how high power distance cultures prevalent in many developing regions tend to resist transparent and equitable systems (Omeihe et al., 2025). Consequently, numerous organizations prioritize visible elements of modernization, such as adopting new technologies, enhancing branding, and upgrading physical office environments, while leaving underlying aspects like ethics, trust, communication, and fairness largely unchanged. This creates a phenomenon of “symbolic adoption,” where modernization appears authentic on the surface but fails to deliver meaningful improvements for employees or overall performance (Shams et al., 2025).

Gender inequality remains a persistent structural issue in workplaces, manifesting in disparities related to safety, compensation, and representation. Barriers such as informal promotion processes, restricted access to leadership roles, and entrenched cultural stereotypes continue to disadvantage women, particularly when human resource policies are inconsistently enforced or poorly implemented (Morin, 2025). Research consistently shows that gender inequities stem not from individual shortcomings but from systemic failures that prevent fair treatment. At the same time, men face under-explored challenges, including pressure to suppress emotions, stigma surrounding mental health support, and stereotypes tied to certain occupations (Volodzkienė, 2025). Similarly, inefficient processes and dysfunctional systems undermine organizational effectiveness. Many firms adopt frameworks like ISO standards or Lean Six Sigma merely for certification purposes rather than genuine operational improvement, resulting in

“paper systems” that exist only on record while daily activities rely on undocumented workarounds and personal knowledge (Mojumder, 2025). When role clarity is lacking, workflows remain ambiguous, feedback mechanisms are ignored, and accountability is weak, systemic breakdowns become inevitable.

Customer experience suffers significantly when organizational maturity stagnates. As documented in the Harvard Business Review (2020), superior customer experience serves as a durable competitive differentiator, yet many organizations become complacent once market stability is achieved. The integration of artificial intelligence presents additional challenges: while AI holds immense potential, improper deployment can lead to flawed decisions, biased outcomes, and diminished employee trust (Brink et al., 2024). The OECD (2021) identifies common risks, including inadequate training, poor data quality, and over-reliance on automated outputs without human oversight. Frequently, AI is introduced before foundational systems are adequately prepared, exacerbating rather than resolving existing problems. Abuse of power further compounds these issues, contributing to toxic leadership that drives higher turnover, mental health deterioration, and reduced organizational commitment. Such behavior is especially prevalent in environments with weak HR functions or cultures that favor leaders over employees, normalizing fear-based management and discouraging reporting of misconduct (Hassani, 2025). Finally, workplace isolation has emerged as a global concern, with the WHO (2022) noting rising levels of burnout, loneliness, and emotional exhaustion among workers. Expatriates face heightened vulnerability due to cultural differences, limited social networks, and insufficient institutional support (Wang & Chen, 2024).

Methodology

This study employs a qualitative autoethnographic methodology, supported by extensive secondary literature, to critically examine persistent organizational challenges. Autoethnography serves as the primary method, enabling the researcher to draw upon authentic lived experiences across various workplaces while situating personal reflections within broader organizational patterns and established academic frameworks. This approach is particularly effective for uncovering hidden dynamics that shape behavior and outcomes, including unspoken power structures that operate beyond formal hierarchies (Baqai, 2024), emotional and cultural barriers that suppress dissent and reinforce inequity (Dawkins, 2024), implicit norms that subtly influence conduct (Chaudhry et al., 2025), experiences of exclusion or discrimination that erode trust and belonging, and internal conflicts arising from ethical inconsistencies between personal values and institutional practices (Lehmann, 2025). By integrating these individual insights with theoretical perspectives, autoethnography reveals the complex interplay of culture, ethics, and power in organizational settings, highlighting the need for deeper scrutiny in research on justice and inclusion.

Secondary data provide a robust foundation for contextualizing and validating the autoethnographic reflections. The analysis draws from authoritative reports issued by international bodies such as the International Labour Organization (ILO), Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), World Health Organization (WHO), McKinsey, and Gartner, alongside peer-reviewed scholarship in organizational culture, human resource management, and related management literature. These sources offer both theoretical depth and practical insights into contemporary workplace issues, enhancing the credibility and generalizability of the findings while ensuring the study remains grounded in reliable, evidence-based knowledge.

Thematic coding and interpretation form the core analytical process. Narrative reflections from lived experiences were systematically coded to identify recurring patterns, which were then

cross-referenced and aligned with themes emerging from the secondary literature. This iterative approach illuminated persistent, systemic dysfunctions across diverse organizational contexts, demonstrating how interconnected barriers ranging from inequity and inefficiency to ethical lapses and isolation undermine overall organizational health and performance.

Results

The findings reveal that a range of deeply entrenched organizational problems persistently obstruct genuine modernization initiatives. Gender inequality endures as a major obstacle through unfair pay structures, biased hiring and advancement procedures, unsafe working conditions for women, and cultural norms that restrict their mobility. The absence of adequate psychological support for both genders further undermines productivity and employee health, while incidents of harassment often go unreported, perpetuating gaps in accountability (Shah et al., 2024). These inequities are not random; they are embedded in non-transparent procedures and reinforced by informal power hierarchies, rendering reform particularly challenging. In addition to gender-related issues, structural inefficiencies compromise organizational resilience through fragmented workflows, overlapping responsibilities that blur accountability, and policies that exist only on paper without consistent application. Many organizations depend heavily on a handful of “system experts” who hold critical knowledge; their departure frequently causes operational collapse, exposing the fragility of these setups (Zeiser, 2024). Customers directly suffer from these shortcomings, as companies that ignore feedback, cling to outdated processes, overlook competitors, and take customer loyalty for granted deliver progressively poorer service especially during periods of disruption (Asamoah, 2025).

Moreover, flawed AI integration introduces fresh risks, such as biased algorithms, errors in automated decision-making, staff misinterpretation due to insufficient training, and the misuse of AI for surveillance rather than genuine enhancement, fostering distrust and apprehension among employees (Khurram et al., 2025). When leaders exploit authority through intimidation, veiled threats, leveraging job insecurity, arbitrary denial of approvals, or unreasonable expectations, a pervasive culture of fear emerges that suppresses innovation and engagement (Hecker, 2024). Employee isolation remains a critical concern, manifested through racial and cultural discrimination, exclusion from team dynamics, inadequate social and emotional support, poor work-life integration, and heightened loneliness and cultural disconnection among expatriates (Temlong, 2025). This isolation directly contributes to burnout, heightened anxiety, and declining motivation, further eroding organizational performance. Collectively, these interrelated barriers demonstrate that true modernization demands fundamental structural reform, ethical leadership, genuine inclusivity, and a committed focus on employee well-being.

Discussion

This study underscore a critical insight: modernization confined to technological upgrades alone fails to drive meaningful organizational progress, as the root causes lie in human elements values, behaviors, and leadership practices. In hierarchical, high power-distance cultures prevalent in many organizations, rigid cultural norms severely constrain fair human resource practices. Employees hesitate to raise concerns due to fear of reprisal, decision-making remains overly centralized, and transparency is notably absent. The human toll of these institutional shortcomings is evident in the emotional strain caused by gender discrimination, abuse of authority, and feelings of exclusion, which manifest as mental fatigue, reduced productivity, widespread disengagement, and increased turnover (Bareket & Fiske, 2025). Expatriates face compounded challenges through heightened cultural disconnection and

isolation, further intensifying these negative outcomes (Abdalla et al., 2025). Ethical deficiencies compound the problem, as no amount of advanced tools can compensate for leadership that prioritizes control, status, and self-interest over competence, collaboration, and integrity (Singun, 2025). Similarly, technology implemented without adequate human oversight proves counterproductive; rather than resolving underlying dysfunctions, poorly managed AI often amplifies existing inequalities and introduces fresh risks such as bias, mistrust, and misuse.

To address these entrenched barriers, the study proposes a set of targeted, actionable recommendations. First, human resource frameworks must be reinforced through explicit, enforceable policies, independent grievance mechanisms, robust whistleblower protections, and alignment with global best practices. Second, ethical leadership should be actively cultivated by promoting principles of honesty, empathy, consistency, and accountability while actively discouraging fear-based or authoritarian management styles. Third, organizations should foster inclusive cultures by embedding diversity training, providing dedicated support for expatriates, and encouraging meaningful social connections to combat isolation. Fourth, operational resilience can be strengthened by implementing KPI-guided workflows, standardized and consistently enforced processes, clear lines of accountability, and ongoing employee development initiatives. Finally, prioritizing mental health support through accessible resources, awareness programs, and a supportive environment is essential to mitigate burnout and sustain workforce well-being (Abril et al., 2024).

Taken together, these recommendations emphasize that sustainable organizational modernization cannot be achieved through technology in isolation. True transformation requires a deliberate, human-centered approach that integrates ethical leadership, inclusive practices, equitable systems, and genuine care for people alongside technological advancements. Only by addressing the intertwined human, cultural, and structural dimensions can organizations move beyond superficial change to realize lasting growth, resilience, and performance.

Conclusion

This study emphasizes that genuine modernization in organizations transcends the mere adoption of digital tools, artificial intelligence, or cosmetic upgrades to physical infrastructure. At its core, modernization demands a fundamental confrontation with entrenched structural and cultural barriers that perpetuate inefficiency, inequity, and disengagement. When leadership relies on fear, coercion, or rigid hierarchies rather than integrity, accountability, and transparency, even the most advanced technologies fail to deliver sustainable progress. Organizations must shift from outdated, control-oriented mindsets to ones rooted in ethical decision-making, open communication, and mutual trust. This transformation is not optional; it forms the essential foundation for long-term resilience and growth. Without replacing force-based management with principled leadership, modernization remains superficial, yielding short-term appearances of advancement while leaving underlying dysfunctions untouched and employees disillusioned.

Cultural inclusivity and gender equality emerge as non-negotiable pillars of meaningful change. True diversity extends far beyond token representation; it requires actively valuing diverse voices, backgrounds, and perspectives in every decision-making process. When organizations silence or marginalize certain groups whether through discriminatory promotion practices, unequal pay, unsafe environments for women, or exclusionary norms they forfeit innovation, creativity, and collective intelligence. Gender equity, in particular, serves as a litmus test for organizational health: fair compensation, unbiased advancement opportunities, and protective

measures against harassment are vital to fostering participation, morale, and retention. Inclusivity dismantles narrow viewpoints that stifle progress and cultivates environments where all employees feel seen, heard, and empowered. By embedding fairness and respect into everyday operations, organizations unlock broader talent pools, enhance problem-solving capabilities, and build the social cohesion necessary for enduring success in dynamic markets. Finally, system reliability and employee well-being stand as indispensable elements of sustainable modernization. Overreliance on informal workarounds, “system saviors,” or undocumented shortcuts creates fragility; robust workflows, clear accountability structures, standardized processes, and effective corrective mechanisms are essential to ensure continuity and adaptability amid disruptions. Equally critical is prioritizing the human dimension: no technological leap can compensate for a workforce that feels isolated, undervalued, emotionally drained, or psychologically unsafe. Organizations must integrate comprehensive mental health support, work-life balance initiatives, social connection-building efforts, and genuine psychological safety into their core strategies. Employees who experience empathy, empowerment, and belonging respond with higher engagement, greater creativity, and stronger commitment to shared goals. In essence, modernization is a holistic, human-centered endeavor that weaves together ethical leadership, inclusive cultures, equitable systems, structural resilience, and unwavering attention to well-being. Only by addressing these interconnected dimensions can organizations evolve into adaptive, morally grounded, and people-focused entities capable of thriving in an era of rapid change.

References

- Abdalla, A., Li, X., & Yang, F. (2025). Stressors and job burnout of Chinese expatriate construction professionals. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, 32(2), 705-731.
- Abril-Jiménez, P., Carvajal-Flores, D., Buhid, E., & Cabrera-Umpierrez, M. F. (2024). Enhancing worker-centred digitalisation in industrial environments: A KPI evaluation methodology. *Heliyon*, 10(4).
- Adeniyi, A. O., Akpuokwe, C. U., Bakare, S. S., & Eneh, N. E. (2024). Gender equality in the workplace: A comparative review of USA and African Practices. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*, 6(3), 526-539.
- Aggarwal, A., Baker, H. K., & Joshi, N. A. (2025). Organizational innovation as business strategy: A review and Bibliometric analysis. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*, 16(2), 6550-6576.
- Alateeg, S., & Alhammadi, A. (2024). The impact of organizational culture on organizational innovation with mediation role of strategic leadership in Saudi Arabia. *Journal of Statistics Applications & Probability*, 13(2), 843-858.
- Ali, M. J. (2024). Breaking Barriers: Gender Policies, Human Rights, and the Legal Quest for Equality and Social Justice. *Issue 5 Int'l JL Mgmt. & Human.*, 7, 846.
- Asamoah, J. Y. S. (2025). Evaluating Key Determinants of Customer Satisfaction: A Focus on Customer Relations, Service Quality, Product Quality, and Supply Chain Management.
- Baqai, H. (2024). Unveiling the Invisible: Ethnographic Insights into Hidden Power Structures. *Journal of Political Stability Archive*, 2(1), 48-57.
- Bareket, O., & Fiske, S. T. (2025). Lost opportunities: How gendered arrangements harm men. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 122(5), e2320788122.
- Brink, A., Benyayer, L. D., & Kupp, M. (2024). Decision-making in organizations: should managers use AI?. *Journal of Business Strategy*, 45(4), 267-274.

- Chaudhry, S., Aldossari, M., & Aboubichr, B. (2025). Navigating the unspoken: the impact of socio-institutional factors on Pakistani employees' perceptions of implicit promises. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*.
- Dahiya, R., Selvakumar, P., Ahluwalia, G. K., Manjunath, T. C., & Anute, N. (2025). Ethical Leadership and Decision Making. In *Advances in Ethical Work Climate and Employee Well-Being* (pp. 45-66). IGI Global Scientific Publishing.
- Dawkins, C. E. (2024). Shared inequity: An alternative frame for racial justice in employment. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 193(4), 825-838.
- George, A. S. (2024). Bracing for the coming AI tsunami: Preparing the global workforce for displacement and inequality in the age of accelerating technological advancement. *Partners Universal Innovative Research Publication*, 2(3), 126-146.
- Gil, M., Thor, K., & Gemheden, A. (2025). Managing the tensions between tradition and innovation in family firms. *Journal of Family Business Management*, 15(5), 1420-1445.
- Hecker, K. (2024). *Behind Closed Doors: On Covert Aggression and the Abuse of Power by Women in the Workplace*. Pacifica Graduate Institute.
- Johnson, B., Shakes, P., & Maylea, C. (2024). Prenatal testing technologies in Australia: Unintended clinical and emotional complexities in underprepared systems. *Social Science & Medicine*, 361, 117368.
- Khurram, M., Zhang, C., Muhammad, S., Kishnani, H., An, K., Abeywardena, K., ... & Behdinan, K. (2025). Artificial intelligence in manufacturing industry worker safety: A new paradigm for hazard prevention and mitigation. *Processes*, 13(5), 1312.
- Lehmann-Willenbrock, N. (2025). Dynamic interpersonal processes at work: Taking social interactions seriously. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*, 12.
- Mojumder, M. U. (2025). Impact of lean six sigma on manufacturing efficiency using a digital twin-based performance evaluation framework. *ASRC Procedia: Global Perspectives in Science and Scholarship*, 1(01), 343-375.
- Morin, S. L. (2025). The Gender Pay Gap: A Persistent Socioeconomic Challenge. *SocioEconomic Challenges*, 9(1), 162-174.
- Nyakomitah, K. (2024). Evaluating the Scope and Utility of Modernization Theory in Contemporary African Development. *Journal of Research in Social Science and Humanities*, 3(11), 1-9.
- Shah, S. K. A., Ali, Z., & Tariq, M. (2024). The Impact of Unfair Compensation on Employee Morale, Job Satisfaction, and Performance: A Quantitative Analysis in Pakistan's Health Sector. *Global Management Sciences Review*, 9(3), 65-76.
- Shams, S. R., Brown, D. M., & Hardcastle, K. (2025). Brand Management, Marketing Communication, and Promotion Management for People, Planet, and Profit. In *Sustainable Marketing: Strategic Marketing for People, Planet and Profit* (pp. 227-298). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.
- Shi, Y., & Wei, F. (2025). Comparative analysis of digital economy-driven innovation development in China: An international perspective. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*, 16(1), 4422-4464.
- Singun, A. J. (2025). Unveiling the barriers to digital transformation in higher education institutions: a systematic literature review. *Discover Education*, 4(1), 37.
- Temlong, N. (2025). *Work-Life Balance Experiences of Women of Color in Healthcare* (Doctoral dissertation, The Chicago School of Professional Psychology).

Thomas, A. (2024). Digitally transforming the organization through knowledge management: A socio-technical system (STS) perspective. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 27(9), 437-460.

Usman, A. (2024). Innovation management: leveraging management science for competitive advantage. *Qualitative Research Review Letter*, 2(2), 1-12.

Volodzkiene, L. (2025). Gender equality. In *Societal Challenges and Opportunities of Low-Carbon Energy Transformations* (pp. 139-190). CRC Press.

Zeiser, M. R. (2024). *Understanding the Experiences of Women Leaders in Technology Organizations* (Doctoral dissertation, Fielding Graduate University).