Academic Research: Colonized and Decolonized Approaches

Syed Farooq Aziz
PhD. Study of Religions, International Islamic University, Islamabad.
Syedfarooq612@gmail.com
Dr. Bilal Ahmad
Assistant Professor, Study of Religions, International Islamic University, Islamabad.
Bila.ahmad@iiu.edu.pk

Abstract
This paper critically examines the transformation of academic research from colonial to decolonial paradigms, highlighting the shift from Eurocentric domination to epistemic inclusivity. During colonization, research served imperial agendas by privileging Western methodologies, marginalizing Indigenous epistemologies, and legitimizing cultural and intellectual hierarchies that reinforced political and economic control. It identifies key colonial approaches methodological dominance, priority disparity, colonial epistemology, cultural context neglect, and interpretive bias that collectively perpetuated cognitive imperialism. In contrast, the decolonial era redefined research as a tool for empowerment and equity, emphasizing methodological inclusivity, community-centered priorities, pluralistic epistemologies, cultural context integration, and interpretive reflexivity. Through this transformation, decolonial scholarship reclaims indigenous knowledge systems, validates multiple ways of knowing, and promotes intellectual sovereignty among formerly colonized communities. The study concludes that while both colonial and decolonial research share structural similarities in systematic inquiry and interpretation, their moral and epistemological orientations diverge significantly one serving domination, the other liberation. Ultimately, this evolution demonstrates that the true essence of research lies in democratizing knowledge and fostering global understanding through the recognition of diverse intellectual traditions.
Keywords: *Colonial Research, Decolonial Methodology, Indigenous Knowledge Systems, Epistemic Justice, Academic Imperialism*

Introduction to Academic Research

Research, derived from the Old French word "recerchier" meaning to search again, signifies a continuous pursuit of knowledge.[1] Research is a systematic investigation that seeks to discover new information or expand existing knowledge within a particular field by exploring the unknown, offering detailed descriptions of phenomena, and providing causal explanations for observed events.[2] Additionally, research seeks to make predictions based on its findings, creating a foundation for future studies and practical applications. Through exploration, explanation, and prediction, research deepens understanding and advances knowledge across various disciplines.[3]

---

[1]Kabir, Syed Muhammad Sajjad. Introduction to Research. July 2016. ResearchGate.
[2]Garg, Rakesh. "Methodology for research I." Indian journal of anaesthesia 60, no. 9 (2016): 640-645.
[3]Sarstedt, Marko, and Nicholas P. Danks. "Prediction in HRM research–a gap between rhetoric and reality." Human Resource Management Journal 32, no. 2 (2022): 485-513.

Research is fundamentally essential in the academic sphere as a cornerstone for advancing knowledge and tackling challenges across multiple domains, empowering individuals to analyze complex issues, devise effective solutions, and enrich their understanding of diverse phenomena.[4] Through rigorous inquiry, research nurtures scientific reasoning, enhances logical thought, and sparks creativity, fostering personal and professional growth while simultaneously elevating social standing and contributing to broader societal advancements.[5] Moreover, research is a transformative process that cultivates a deeper comprehension of the world, guides the formulation of effective strategies, and ultimately elevates the quality of life for both individuals and communities.[6]

Colonial Academic research

Colonization was marked by cognitive imperialism, portraying Indigenous societies as primitive and inferior to justify exploitation and suppression while displacing communities and erasing their knowledge systems and heritage to replace them with colonizers' worldviews.[7] During colonization, Western scholars relied on research methods focused on numbers and data while ignoring the unique experiences of different cultures, favoring career growth and efficiency over community needs and thereby reinforcing a narrow, Eurocentric perspective.[8] By focusing on race, culture, and social status through these methods, they unintentionally supported existing social biases and justified oppression. Alternative approaches, like indigenous methods or qualitative research, were often overlooked, leading to a one-sided understanding of multiculturalism.[9]

Academic research was driven by the political and economic goals of colonial powers, focusing on exploiting colonized regions, with colonial institutions controlling knowledge production to justify domination and maximize resource extraction, often disregarding and marginalizing indigenous knowledge systems as colonial perspectives dominated academic inquiry.[10] Despite the biases present during colonization, the research conducted at that time contributed to the foundation of modern knowledge systems by providing crucial insights into various regions and paving the way for scientific advancements and global understanding.[11] These studies, though influenced by colonial perspectives, played a role in shaping future intellectual development and cross-cultural exchanges.

---

[4]Sakkeer, V. 2023. "Empowering Higher Education: The Vital Role of Research Skills in Academic Excellence." International Journal of Educational Research and Development 5 (1): 56–58.

[5]Nasir, Muhammad, and Annur Indra Kusumadani. "Nurturing Argumentation Skills to Solve Complex Problems through Structured Scaffolding-Guided Inquiry (SSGI)." International Journal of Education in Mathematics, Science and Technology 12, no. 5 (2024): 1197-1216.

[6]Mertens, Donna M. "Transformative Research Methods to Increase Social, Economic, and Environmental Impact." International Journal of Qualitative Methods 20 (2021): 1–12.

[7]Battiste, Marie and Henderson, James (Sa'ke'j) Youngblood. "Chapter 5 Decolonizing Cognitive Imperialism in Education" In Protecting Indigenous Knowledge and Heritage: A Global Challenge, 86-96. University of British Columbia Press, 2000.

[8]Kato, D. S., A. Galamba, and B. A. P. Monteiro. "Decolonial Scientific Education to Combat 'Science for Domination'." Cultural Studies of Science Education 18 (2023): 217–235.

[9]Yakushko, Oksana, Louis Hoffman, Melissa L. Morgan Consoli, and Gordon Lee. "On Methods, Methodologies, and Continued Colonization of Knowledge in the Study of 'Ethnic Minorities': Comment on Hall et al. (2016)." American Psychologist 71, no. 9 (2016): 890–891.

[10]Akena, F. A. (2012). Critical Analysis of the Production of Western Knowledge and Its Implications for Indigenous Knowledge and Decolonization. Journal of Black Studies, 43(6), 599-619.

[11]Chambers, David Wade, and Richard Gillespie. "Locality in the history of science: Colonial science, technoscience, and indigenous knowledge." Osiris 15 (2000): 221-240.

Western scholars constructed a Eurocentric historical narrative that legitimized their dominance by portraying Europe as the center of knowledge and progress while marginalizing non-Western perspectives and cultures.[12] Through this self-legitimating discourse, they reinforced colonial ideologies and justified the cultural and intellectual superiority of the West in the field of research and history. So, the colonial research approach in academia often prioritizes Western epistemologies, characterized by a linear, order-centric view that fails to encompass the holistic and cyclical nature of Aboriginal traditional knowledge.[13] This approach tends to overlook the relational and participatory aspects of knowledge that are fundamental to Indigenous perspectives, leading to a narrow understanding of the world. Consequently, without integrating Aboriginal paradigms, academic policies and research initiatives risk becoming irrelevant and ineffective, undermining the richness and diversity of human experience and knowledge systems.[14]

Academic imperialists, viewing traditional frameworks as outdated, promoted contemporary methods as superior and used this claim to justify their shift into areas once studied by other disciplines.[15] During periods of intellectual upheaval, these critiques emphasized deficiencies in the methodologies and practices of the established order, ultimately challenging the qualifications and effectiveness of its practitioners in addressing the scholarly demands of the time.[16] The academic dominancy exerted by central states in global knowledge production and distribution pressures scholars in peripheral states to conform to imposed ideas and standards through the standardization, institutionalization, and socialization of academic disciplines, allowing former colonial powers to maintain indirect control even after independence by fostering academic dependency that shapes the thinking of scholars in these countries.[17]

Academic exploitation emerged during the colonial era as colonial powers established and controlled educational institutions and publishing entities within their colonies. Academic exploitation emerged during the colonial era as colonial powers established and controlled educational institutions and publishing entities within their colonies, creating a cognitive framework where imperial political and economic structures shaped the thought processes of the colonized, fostering exploitation and conformity, while dominated intellectuals were relegated to secondary roles and the inferior scholarship of home country academics rationalized the civilizing mission and reinforced colonial dominance.[18]

Communication evolved from oral traditions to written forms during colonization, fundamentally changing how information was expressed, as alphabetic writing lacked non-verbal elements like tone and gestures, necessitating the development of rules such as punctuation and word spacing to ensure clarity.[19] As writing became more standardized, particularly with the

---

[12]Gebremariam, E. B. "Decentering Coloniality: Epistemic Justice, Development Studies and Structural Transformation." European Journal of Development Research 37 (2025): 442–453.

[13]Simonds, Vanessa W., and Suzanne Christopher. "Adapting Western research methods to indigenous ways of knowing." American journal of public health 103, no. 12 (2013): 2185-2192.

[14]Mignolo, Walter D. The politics of decolonial investigations. Duke University Press, 2021. P.2

[15]Cramer, Meta. "Colonial Scholars and Anti-Colonial Agents: Politics of Academic Knowledge Production Between the West Indies and London in the Mid-20th Century." Sociology Lens 36, no. 2 (2023): 208-222.

[16]Friedman, Norman L. "New orders and old: Historians, educationists, and the dynamics of academic imperialism." American Behavioral Scientist 9, no. 2 (1965): 24-29.

[17]Shih, Cheng-Feng. "Academic Colonialism and the Struggle for Indigenous Knowledge Systems in Taiwan." Social Alternatives 29, no. 1 (2009): 44-47.

[18]Alatas, Syed Farid. "Academic dependency and the global division of labour in the social sciences." Current sociology 51, no. 6 (2003): 599-613.

[19]Abdi, Ali A. "Oral Societies and Colonial Experiences: Sub-Saharan Africa and the De Facto Power of the Written Word." International Education 37, no. 1 (2007).

advent of print, the reading audience grew while text production became concentrated in fewer hands, introducing unique written practices such as letter writing, which had no direct oral equivalent, and necessitating distinct conventions for different communication media to maintain effective interaction.[20]

Writing systems, as tools of communication and historical recording, have both positive and negative aspects, with the positive side enabling the preservation of languages, memories, and cultural histories by providing a structured way to document and share knowledge.[21] The imposition of one writing system over another can suppress native forms of expression, transforming or erasing original communication methods while creating a false perception that cultures without alphabetic writing are less civilized, thereby devaluing their rich oral and visual traditions.[22] On the other hand, the adoption of foreign writing systems can also serve as a means of resistance, allowing cultures to adapt and challenge dominant ideologies. Thus, writing both empowers and disrupts, depending on its context and use.[23]

Research approaches involved acquiring and classifying cultural knowledge through Western frameworks that transformed fragmented accounts into structured scientific forms—codified in dictionaries, grammars, and translations—thereby standardizing foreign cultures into representations that served Western intellectual needs and reinforced control.[24] Such a framework prevented genuine cultural exchange, reinforced divisions between communities, and by focusing on differences, hindered collaboration and strengthened the perception of otherness.[25] Colonizers employed forced labor, residential education, and child apprehensions to erase Indigenous identities and enforce dependency on colonial authorities, while disguising these violent practices as necessary for progress and modernization.[26]

The colonization of knowledge involved the systematic suppression and appropriation of indigenous knowledge systems by colonial powers, who dismissed local beliefs as irrelevant while expropriating practical knowledge in fields like agriculture and mining and imposing their own cultural and symbolic frameworks.[27] By undermining Indigenous intellectual expression and stifling local cultural production, colonizers enforced their own modes of knowing and used repression not only to appropriate knowledge but also as a tool for social and cultural domination, ultimately reinforcing their dominance over colonized populations.[28]

---

[20]Rizvi, Syed Farjood Ailya, Muhammad Asghar, and Wardah Naeem Bukhari. "The Evolution of Visual Communication Design: The Role of the Colonial Printing Press in Lahore." Migration Letters 21, no. S14 (2024): 558–567.

[21]Aslin, Richard N. "Reading and writing systems: Conveying and archiving language." Language Learning and Development 9, no. 4 (2013): 293-295.

[22]Guha, Sumit. "Empires, Languages, and Scripts in the Perso-Indian World." Comparative Studies in Society and History 66, no. 2 (2024): 443-469.

[23]Mignolo, Walter D. "On the colonization of Amerindian languages and memories: Renaissance theories of writing and the discontinuity of the classical tradition." Comparative Studies in Society and history 34, no. 2 (1992): 301-330.

[24]Said, Edward W. Orientalism. New York: Vintage Books, October 1979. P.166

[25]Alatas, Syed Farid. "The Coloniality of Knowledge and the Autonomous Knowledge Tradition." Sociology Compass 18 (2024): e13256. https://doi.org/10.1111/soc4.13256.

[26]Waubanascum, C., and M. Sarche. "So, We've Been Taken Away since Forever: Indigenous Relative Caregivers' Experiences as a Framework for Uncovering Coloniality in the Child Welfare System." Adversity & Resilience Science 4, no. 4 (2023): 343–361.

[27]Malli, Anisha, H. Monteith, E. C. Hiscock, E. V. Smith, K. Fairman, T. Galloway, and A. Mashford-Pringle. "Impacts of colonization on Indigenous food systems in Canada and the United States: a scoping review." BMC Public Health 23, no. 1 (2023): 2105.

[28]Quijano, Aníbal. "Coloniality and modernity/rationality." Cultural studies 21, no. 2-3 (2007): 168-178.

Western scholars often displayed an inflated sense of superiority that shaped their research and mentorship, leading them to simplify complex human experiences into narrow Eurocentric theories that marginalized non-Western scholars, who, under financial and political pressures, were compelled to rely on Western frameworks, highlighting their precarious position within the global academic structure.[29] This reliance perpetuated a colonial mentality, where indigenous knowledge was overshadowed by dominant Western methodologies, undermining the diversity and depth of non-Western cultures.[30]

Following a thorough understanding of the aforementioned explanation regarding during colonization, the following types of research methodologies can be identified:

Types of Colonial Research Approaches
Methodological Dominance

This refers to the dominant use of research methods that ignored the richness and diversity of human experiences, promoting a limited worldview that failed to recognize the complex cultural, social, and historical realities of colonized societies. By prioritizing Western research methods over Indigenous knowledge systems, colonial scholars reinforced epistemic imperialism that marginalized local perspectives, validated colonial narratives, and upheld power imbalances by undermining the legitimacy of Indigenous ways of knowing and understanding the world.[31]

Priority Disparity

It reveals how research practices prioritized institutional efficiency and researchers' career advancement over the needs and values of diverse communities, leading to the exploitation of marginalized groups whose perspectives and contributions were undervalued in the pursuit of academic recognition. n the context of colonization, Western researchers dismissed local knowledge and priorities, reinforcing existing power hierarchies by portraying their own methods as more legitimate, which marginalized Indigenous voices, validated colonial authority, and prevented genuine cultural understanding or equitable collaboration.[32] Ultimately, this approach demonstrated how the interests of institutions and researchers frequently took precedence over the well-being and representation of the communities they studied.

Colonial Epistemology

It refers to the use of Western research standards during the colonial era that perpetuated colonial attitudes by privileging European perspectives and marginalizing other worldviews, thereby reinforcing existing power hierarchies that rendered Indigenous knowledge systems and local experiences as inferior or irrelevant. By valuing Western methodologies over diverse epistemologies, colonial researchers silenced alternative narratives and erased rich cultural heritages, thereby legitimizing colonial control as a supposed path to progress and

---

[29]Anjum, Gulnaz, and Mudassar Aziz. "Advancing equity in cross-cultural psychology: embracing diverse epistemologies and fostering collaborative practices." Frontiers in psychology 15 (2024)

[30]Kundu, Manujendra. Racism of Knowledge and Colonization of Cognition. Published February 2024.https://www.academia.edu/115032307/Racism_of_Knowledge_and_Colonization_of_Cognition?b=25_percent_vector.

[31]Udah, Hyacinth. "Decolonising research for justice: Ethical imperatives and practical applications." International Journal of Qualitative Methods 23 (2024)

[32]Anderson, Peter, Zane M. Diamond, Thu Pham, Angela Beaza Peña, Carla Tapia, Levon Blue, Melanie Saward et al. "Indigenous rights-based approaches to decolonising research methodologies in settler colonial contexts." Frontiers in Research Metrics and Analytics 10 (2025): 1553208.

development.[33] Ultimately, colonial epistemology revealed that the dominance of Western perspectives in research limited the understanding of global diversity and perpetuated continuous cycles of inequality and oppression.

Cultural Context Neglect

It highlighted how the systemic reliance on quantitative methodologies that ignored sociocultural dimensions and excluded qualitative insights rendered diverse human experiences invisible, reinforced colonial stereotypes, and further entrenched power imbalances by silencing the voices of marginalized communities in scholarly discourse.[34] Ultimately, cultural context neglect illustrated how the dominance of quantitative methods in research contributed to the ongoing marginalization of diverse cultural perspectives within the broader narrative of colonization.

Interpretive Bias

Interpretive bias refers to the tendency of researchers to present findings as objective while interpreting them through the lens of their own cultural and social backgrounds, thereby perpetuating existing social biases, marginalizing alternative perspectives, and reinforcing structures of oppression and injustice. In the context of colonization, interpretive bias reinforced stereotypes and legitimized discriminatory practices by excluding the voices of colonized peoples, while presenting research outcomes as objective, [35] which concealed underlying power dynamics and allowed colonial narratives to dominate academic thought. Ultimately, interpretive bias demonstrated how the misrepresentation of knowledge not only distorted reality but also upheld systems of inequality and oppression that continued to affect marginalized communities.

Academic Research Position During Decolonization

During decolonization, academic research was increasingly driven by the political, social, and cultural priorities of newly independent nations, emphasizing the restoration and empowerment of formerly colonized communities, as research institutions and scholars sought to reclaim knowledge production from former imperial powers, centering indigenous perspectives and local expertise, while revitalizing traditional knowledge systems and integrating community-based methodologies to challenge the dominance of Eurocentric frameworks.[36] Research during decolonization contributed to the development of autonomous knowledge structures, highlighting local innovations, societal transformations, post-colonial governance, social reforms, and cultural preservation.[37] These studies, grounded in local realities, played a key role in reshaping education, promoting intercultural understanding, and guiding nation-building initiatives across emerging states.

During decolonization, communication increasingly embraced both written and oral traditions, integrating local languages, indigenous modes of expression, non-verbal cues, storytelling, performative methods, and participatory practices alongside print to ensure

[33]Dutta, Mohan Jyoti, Ambar Basu, Satveer Kaur-Gill, Debalina Dutta, Mahuya Pal, Iccha Basnyat, Selina Metuamate et al. "Anticolonialism and qualitative methods for culture-centered interventions." Journal of Communication 75, no. 4 (2025): 244-258.

[34]Nohl, Arnd-Michael. "Hidden Contexts, Multilevel Comparisons, and the Postcolonial Location: Reconsidering the Documentary Method Vis-à-Vis Decolonization." Qualitative Inquiry (2024)

[35]Allard-Tremblay, Yann. "Rationalism and the silencing and distorting of Indigenous voices." Critical Review of International Social and Political Philosophy 24, no. 7 (2021): 1024-1047.

[36] hambinathan, Vivetha, and Elizabeth Anne Kinsella. "Decolonizing methodologies in qualitative research: Creating spaces for transformative praxis." International journal of qualitative methods 20 (2021):

[37] Meagher, Kate. "Decolonizing Development Studies: Rejecting or Repurposing the Master's Tools?" The European Journal of Development Research 37, no. 2 (2025): 407-420.

knowledge reflected community realities while preserving cultural nuance and context, as literacy initiatives expanded access to materials, decentralized text production, and enabled diverse voices to contribute to public discourse, with traditional practices such as oral histories, songs, and communal dialogue complementing formal writing to facilitate inclusive knowledge-sharing and empower previously marginalized communities.[38]

Research methodologies increasingly prioritized collaboration with local communities rather than external authorities, engaging directly with ordinary people, grassroots leaders, and Indigenous knowledge holders to understand everyday experiences, cultural practices, and social structures, thereby ensuring that research reflected lived realities.[39] This participatory approach challenged hierarchical power dynamics by giving voice to marginalized groups and fostering equitable partnerships, and by centering local knowledge, decolonial research promoted empowerment, cultural revitalization, and socially relevant scholarship, enabling communities to shape both the questions asked and the knowledge produced about their societies.[40]

Knowledge production and academic authority shifted toward formerly colonized nations, empowering scholars to define research agendas based on local priorities and cultural perspectives. Universities and research institutions became sites for intellectual autonomy, promoting diverse methodologies and critical thinking that reflected indigenous epistemologies.[41] Educational and publishing initiatives prioritized local expertise, enabling communities to reclaim intellectual sovereignty and shape curricula, research outputs, and scholarly discourse.[42] This process nurtured independent thought, critical inquiry, and the legitimacy of indigenous knowledge systems, allowing marginalized intellectuals to occupy central roles in producing scholarship that addressed societal needs, promoted cultural pride, and contributed to nation-building.

Writing systems were increasingly employed as tools of cultural reclamation and empowerment, enabling the preservation and revitalization of Indigenous languages, histories, and knowledge, as scholars and communities prioritized local forms of writing and oral traditions, integrating them with modern documentation methods to ensure authenticity and accessibility.[43] Writing became a means of resistance and self-determination, allowing communities to record histories, share cultural knowledge, and assert intellectual sovereignty, strengthening cultural identity and inclusive knowledge production.[44]

Decolonization sought to restore the autonomy, cultures, and identities of Indigenous and formerly colonized peoples by emphasizing intellectual and cultural sovereignty, as scholars

---

[38] Steeves, Paulette F. "Re-claiming and re-writing the past through indigenous voices and worldviews." Revista de Arqueología Americana 38 (2020): 87-95.

[39] Holkup, Patricia A. PhD, RN; Tripp-Reimer, Toni PhD, RN, FAAN; Salois, Emily Matt MSW, ACSW; Weinert, Clarann SC, PhD, RN, FAAN. Community-based Participatory Research: An Approach to Intervention Research with a Native American Community. Advances in Nursing Science 27(3): p 162-175, July 2004.

[40] Lee, Kevin Lujan, Ngoc T. Phan, Nolan Flores, Josiah Gabriel Mesngon, Aria Palaganas, Chauntae Quichocho, and Nikki Aubree San Agustin. "Decolonial subjectivities in participatory action research: Resident researcher experiences in the 2021 Guåhan Survey." Environment and Planning F 2, no. 1-2 (2023): 264-280.

[41] Kassis, Mudar, Rita Giacaman, and Maher Hashweh. "Decolonizing knowledge production: Perspective on promotion and tenure regulations in Palestine and beyond." Middle East Critique 31, no. 2 (2022): 105-124.

[42] Anderson, Molly D., and Priscilla Settee. "Knowledge and education for peoples' sovereignty." Globalizations 17, no. 7 (2020): 1300-1309.

[43] https://www.amacad.org/publication/daedalus/hear-our-languages-hear-our-voices-storywork-theory-praxis-indigenous-language-reclamation

[44] Tyson, Lorena Sánchez. "'Learning to read and write is to defend yourself': Exploring Indigenous perspectives and reimagining literacies for self-determination in Mexico." International Journal of Educational Development 106 (2024): 102992.

and communities collaborated to revive traditional knowledge, preserve languages, and celebrate cultural practices, thereby promoting dignity and self-determination.[45] Post-colonial research critically examined concepts of race and hierarchy, highlighting how such constructs historically justified exploitation and oppression, and by confronting these legacies, decolonial scholarship dismantled systemic discrimination, promoted equity, and fostered inclusive frameworks that recognized the value and contributions of all communities.[46]

Post-colonial research integrated Indigenous methods, oral histories, and qualitative approaches alongside scientific tools to create a holistic understanding of multicultural societies, and by centering equity, social justice, and community perspectives, decolonial scholarship redressed historical injustices while supporting positive social transformation, empowerment, and the restoration of agency to formerly marginalized populations.[47]

Research and policy emphasized the restoration of autonomy, cultural identity, and social cohesion among formerly colonized communities. Scholars and practitioners worked to preserve and revitalize Indigenous cultures, reconnect families, and strengthen local institutions to counter the disruptive legacy of colonial control, while educational programs, community initiatives, and participatory governance were designed to empower individuals and communities, foster self-determination rather than dependency, repair historical harm, and build resilient, self-governing societies.[48] Scholars embraced inclusive and pluralistic academic frameworks that valued indigenous knowledge systems and culturally diverse methodologies. Post-colonial research integrated historical, local, and community-based practices alongside contemporary methods to correct imbalances created by colonial scholarship, address gaps in representation, and promote equitable participation, while decolonial academics emphasized collaboration, contextual relevance, and methodological innovation to empower marginalized voices and strengthen the capacity of research to meet social, cultural, and developmental needs.[49]

Research approaches emphasized understanding cultures from their own perspectives by valuing local knowledge, experiences, and worldviews, while scholars collaborated with communities to document histories, languages, and social practices in ways that reflected authentic meanings. These methods bridged cultural divides, fostered mutual understanding, and challenged stereotypes, promoting cross-cultural engagement, strengthening local agency, and countering rigid categorizations that had previously reinforced exclusion.[50] Scholars challenged Eurocentric historical narratives by centering the experiences, perspectives, and knowledge systems of formerly colonized peoples. Research recognized non-Western epistemologies and contributions to global knowledge, critically examining past inequalities and

[45]Viatori, Maximilian, and Gloria Ushigua. "Speaking sovereignty: Indigenous languages and self-determination." Wicazo Sa Review 22, no. 2 (2007): 7-21.

[46]Ali, Suki. "Managing racism? Race equality and decolonial educational futures." The British Journal of Sociology 73, no. 5 (2022): 923-941.

[47]Thambinathan, Vivetha, and Elizabeth Anne Kinsella. "Decolonizing methodologies in qualitative research: Creating spaces for transformative praxis." International journal of qualitative methods 20 (2021): 16094069211014766.

[48]Rabang, Nathan J., Amy E. West, Eric Kurtz, Jim Warne, and Vanessa Y. Hiratsuka. "Disability decolonized: Indigenous peoples enacting self-determination." Developmental Disabilities Network Journal 3, no. 1 (2023): 11.

[49]Stevens-Uninsky, Maya, Najuwa Gallant, Tashreeq Chatting, Deborah D. DiLiberto, Russell de Souza, and Lawrence Mbuagbaw. "Re-drawing the map: a case study of decolonized research methods & methodologies." International Journal for Equity in Health 24, no. 1 (2025): 165.

[50]Röger-Offergeld, Ulrike, Eva Kurfer, and Hans Peter Brandl-Bredenbeck. "Empowerment through participation in community-based participatory research—effects of a physical activity promotion project among socially disadvantaged women." Frontiers in public health 11 (2023): 1205808.

misrepresentations.[51] Inclusive, multi-perspective approaches fostered cultural respect, intellectual equity, and a more accurate understanding of global history, empowering communities to reclaim their narratives and assert intellectual sovereignty.

Research actively sought to dismantle Eurocentric frameworks by valuing the histories, achievements, and epistemologies of non-European civilizations. Scholars highlighted contributions of African, Asian, Indigenous, and other marginalized societies, emphasizing local perspectives, oral traditions, and indigenous knowledge systems alongside scholarly inquiry.[52] By critically examining the legacies of colonialism, decolonial research promoted intellectual equity, restored cultural dignity, and recognized the diversity and interconnectedness of human civilizations. Research prioritized the revival, preservation, and integration of Indigenous knowledge systems by documenting traditional practices in areas such as agriculture, medicine, and cultural arts while incorporating these insights into contemporary frameworks.[53] This approach empowered local intellectual expression, strengthened cultural production, and fostered knowledge sovereignty, promoting autonomy, challenging historical marginalization, and supporting sustainable development rooted in local histories and expertise.

Research and scholarship dismantled hierarchical divisions between colonizers and colonized by recognizing all communities as active participants in shaping history, with scholars prioritizing Indigenous perspectives and honoring traditional knowledge systems alongside the cyclical, relational, and participatory nature of local epistemologies.[54] Academic policies and research initiatives were redesigned to integrate local paradigms, ensuring relevance, inclusivity, and respect for human diversity.[55] By centering indigenous voices, decolonization fostered intellectual sovereignty, equitable participation, and holistic understanding. Research methods emphasized reflexivity, inclusivity, and collaboration by addressing biases that favored particular groups, as scholars worked closely with local communities to ensure that research questions, interpretations, and conclusions reflected diverse voices.[56] This approach promoted critical awareness of personal and cultural biases, producing knowledge that was accurate, socially just, and empowering communities to reclaim and represent their own narratives.

Efforts focused on reclaiming, preserving, and celebrating the histories, achievements, and cultural heritage of formerly colonized nations, as scholars and communities documented and promoted pre-colonial knowledge, arts, and traditions to restore dignity and pride.[57] De-colonial scholarship emphasized local capacity, resilience, and leadership, enabling

---

[51]Keikelame, Mpoe Johannah, and Leslie Swartz. "Decolonising research methodologies: lessons from a qualitative research project, cape town, South Africa." Global health action 12, no. 1 (2019): 1561175.

[52]Rizzi, Valentina, and Daniel Barba-Rodríguez. "Virtualizing bodies in transformative platforms of corporeality: Embodied visualisation over flesh boundaries." In IN-PRESENCE/THE BODY AND THE SPACE. The role of corporeity in the era of virtualization, pp. 792-795. PUBLICA, 2024.

[53]Nanthambwe, Patrick. "Integrating African Indigenous Knowledge Systems (AIKSs) into Public Theology: Towards Contextualized Theological Engagement in Southern Africa." Religions 16, no. 7 (2025): 869.

[54]Flores, Kenedy, Aniza Gadaza, Don Galdonez, Joemar Pihnuton, Ronie Soriano, and Alexander Jr Killip. "Decolonizing Pedagogy: Integrating Indigenous Knowledge and Philippine Historical Narratives in Higher Education Curricula." International Journal on Culture, History, and Religion 7, no. 1 (2025): 333-356.

[55]Nanda, Joy P., Roger S. Clark, Jennifer Ayana Harrison, Pamela Ouyang, Cyd Lacanienta, and Cheryl Himmelfarb. "Community-academic partnerships to embrace and ensure diversity, equity, and inclusion in translational science: evidence of successful community engagement." Journal of Clinical and Translational Science 7, no. 1 (2023): e188.

[56]Akter, Shahinoor, Jane Louise Rich, Kate Davies, and Kerry Jill Inder. "Reflexivity conducting mixed methods research on indigenous women's health in lower and middle-income countries-an example from Bangladesh." International Journal of Qualitative Methods 21 (2022)

[57]Seroto, Johannes, and Philip Higgs. "African Indigenous education in the postcolonial period: A critical reflection." Journal of Education (University of KwaZulu-Natal) 95 (2024): 148-168.

communities to assert control over their present and future while honoring their past.[58] Research and mentorship emphasized mutual respect, cultural understanding, and recognition of diverse intellectual traditions by supporting scholars from formerly colonized regions to lead research initiatives that drew upon local knowledge and expertise.[59] Complex human experiences were studied holistically, integrating multiple perspectives and honoring indigenous epistemologies, promoting equitable collaboration, and restoring authority to local knowledge.[60]

Research approaches emphasized the active participation and agency of local communities by integrating Indigenous knowledge and lived experiences into scholarly inquiry, while recognizing behaviors, attitudes, and social structures as deeply rooted in local contexts, traditions, and worldviews.[61] Combining historical analysis with community-driven narratives, decolonial research fostered holistic understanding, empowering communities to interpret, represent, and shape their own histories and realities.[62] Research emphasized the active agency, resilience, and creativity of individuals and communities in shaping their own realities and futures by recognizing strategies of adaptation, resistance, and cultural revitalization to reclaim autonomy and identity, while centering the perspectives of formerly colonized populations to illuminate processes of self-determination, empowerment, and community-led transformation, thereby fostering an inclusive understanding of history and contemporary realities.[63] Following a thorough understanding of the aforementioned explanation regarding during decolonization, the following types of research methodologies can be identified:

Decolonial Research Approaches

Methodological Inclusivity

During decolonization, research methodologies emphasized the integration of diverse epistemologies by valuing both Indigenous knowledge systems and scientific approaches, prioritizing context-sensitive methods that captured the richness of human experiences, social practices, and cultural traditions, while incorporating local ways of knowing to challenge narrow worldviews and counter the epistemic hierarchies established during colonization.[64] This inclusive approach not only broadened the scope of knowledge but also empowered communities to actively participate in shaping research agendas, fostering mutual respect, understanding, and equitable knowledge production.

Community-Centered Priorities

---

[58]Jimenez-Luque, Antonio. "Decolonial leadership for cultural resistance and social change: Challenging the social order through the struggle of identity." Leadership 17, no. 2 (2021): 154-172.

[59]Anderson, Peter, Zane M. Diamond, Thu Pham, Angela Beaza Peña, Carla Tapia, Levon Blue, Melanie Saward et al. "Indigenous rights-based approaches to decolonising research methodologies in settler colonial contexts." Frontiers in Research Metrics and Analytics 10 (2025): 1553208.

[60]Bartlett, Cheryl, Murdena Marshall, and Albert Marshall. "Two-eyed seeing and other lessons learned within a co-learning journey of bringing together indigenous and mainstream knowledges and ways of knowing." Journal of environmental studies and sciences 2, no. 4 (2012): 331-340.

[61]Olko, Justyna. "Spaces for participatory research, decolonization and community empowerment: working with speakers of Nahuatl in Mexico." Language documentation and description 16 (2019).

[62]Stevens-Uninsky, Maya, Najuwa Gallant, Tashreeq Chatting, Deborah D. DiLiberto, Russell de Souza, and Lawrence Mbuagbaw. "Re-drawing the map: a case study of decolonized research methods & methodologies." International Journal for Equity in Health 24, no. 1 (2025): 165.

[63]Reed, Ron, and Sibyl Diver. "Pathways to healing: Indigenous revitalization through family-based land management in the Klamath Basin." Ecology and Society 28, no. 1 (2023).

[64]Anderson, Peter, Zane M. Diamond, Thu Pham, Angela Beaza Peña, Carla Tapia, Levon Blue, Melanie Saward et al. "Indigenous rights-based approaches to decolonising research methodologies in settler colonial contexts." Frontiers in Research Metrics and Analytics 10 (2025): 1553208.

Decolonial research placed the needs, values, and perspectives of local communities at the forefront by fostering collaboration with community members to ensure research agendas addressed real-world concerns and supported social, cultural, and economic empowerment, while aligning career advancement and institutional efficiency with ethical engagement and community benefit rather than pursuing them at the expense of marginalized groups.[65] By prioritizing collaboration, respect, and reciprocity, decolonization-centered methodologies fostered genuine understanding, amplified local voices, and strengthened social cohesion while dismantling hierarchical power dynamics inherited from colonial research practices.

Pluralistic Epistemology

Research during decolonization recognized the legitimacy and value of multiple knowledge systems by treating Indigenous, local, and non-Western epistemologies as equally valid, thereby countering Eurocentric dominance and providing rich insights into social, cultural, and environmental phenomena.[66] By integrating these perspectives into scholarship, decolonial methodologies preserved cultural heritage, challenged entrenched stereotypes, and promoted intellectual equity.[67] This pluralistic epistemology not only enhanced the comprehensiveness of research but also reinforced the autonomy of communities to define and validate their own knowledge, contributing to a more just and inclusive global understanding.

Cultural Context Integration

Decolonial research emphasized qualitative and context-rich approaches that honored lived experiences by treating sociocultural factors as central to study design, interpretation, and dissemination, while valuing narratives, oral histories, and participatory methods alongside quantitative data to redress the neglect of cultural contexts and strengthen community engagement.[68] This integration promoted deeper understanding, reduced stereotyping, and recognized the importance of local knowledge in shaping social, economic, and environmental initiatives.

Interpretive Reflexivity

During decolonization, research prioritized reflexivity, transparency, and critical awareness of researchers' positionality by acknowledging the influence of personal, cultural, and historical perspectives on interpretation, actively incorporating the voices and experiences of studied communities to avoid bias, misrepresentation, and inequality, thereby empowering communities to participate in knowledge construction and ensuring findings reflected diverse perspectives while promoting social justice.[69] Ultimately, interpretive reflexivity helped dismantle hierarchies of knowledge, corrected historical misrepresentations, and fostered equitable and inclusive scholarship.

Similarities between Colonial and Decolonial Research Approaches

The academic research positions during colonization and decolonization share both continuity and transformation, reflecting the evolution of knowledge from domination to

---

[65]Naidu, Thirusha, Gareth Gingell, and Zareen Zaidi. "Decolonial framework for applying reflexivity and positionality in global health research." Global health promotion 31, no. 2 (2024): 52-58.

[66]Held, Mirjam BE. "Decolonizing research paradigms in the context of settler colonialism: An unsettling, mutual, and collaborative effort." International Journal of Qualitative Methods 18 (2019)

[67]Stevens-Uninsky, Maya, Najuwa Gallant, Tashreeq Chatting, Deborah D. DiLiberto, Russell de Souza, and Lawrence Mbuagbaw. "Re-drawing the map: a case study of decolonized research methods & methodologies." International Journal for Equity in Health 24, no. 1 (2025): 165.

[68]Caxaj, C. Susana. "Indigenous storytelling and participatory action research: Allies toward decolonization? Reflections from the peoples' international health tribunal." Global qualitative nursing research 2 (2015)

[69]Naidu, Thirusha, Gareth Gingell, and Zareen Zaidi. "Decolonial framework for applying reflexivity and positionality in global health research." Global health promotion 31, no. 2 (2024): 52-58.

liberation. Despite their differences in purpose and orientation, both phases are linked by a persistent engagement with power, knowledge, and representation—revealing how research serves as both an instrument of control and a means of emancipation.

During colonization, academic research was deeply intertwined with imperial ambitions. It operated as a tool for legitimizing dominance, advancing the political and economic goals of colonial powers. Western scholars positioned Europe as the universal center of knowledge, constructing a Eurocentric framework that categorized non-Western societies as "primitive" or "undeveloped." Research relied heavily on quantitative and classificatory methods, often ignoring local experiences and holistic Indigenous epistemologies. This approach justified exploitation and marginalization while erasing or appropriating Indigenous knowledge. Yet, colonial research also laid the groundwork for modern academic structures, scientific methods, and cross-cultural inquiry—though these emerged within unequal and exploitative contexts.

In contrast, decolonization reshaped research into a vehicle of reclamation and empowerment. Academic inquiry became focused on restoring intellectual sovereignty, validating Indigenous knowledge, and dismantling Eurocentric hierarchies. Scholars sought to deconstruct colonial narratives by incorporating local perspectives, oral traditions, and participatory research methods. Knowledge production shifted from the metropole to formerly colonized nations, where universities and research institutions began reflecting local priorities, languages, and cultural realities. Writing, once used for domination, was transformed into a tool of cultural preservation and resistance. Despite their opposing moral and political goals, both eras shared structural similarities: research in each period aimed to explain, classify, and predict social phenomena, while reflecting the dominant worldviews of their time. Both relied on institutions—universities, academies, and publications—as centers of intellectual authority, and both shaped human understanding on a global scale. However, where colonial research sought to control and define the "other," decolonial research sought to recover, revoice, and rehumanize those marginalized by that very process.

In essence, the academic trajectory from colonization to decolonization illustrates the dual nature of research—as both an instrument of domination and a means of liberation. While colonial research, imposed boundaries of knowledge through exclusion, decolonial research strives to transcend them through inclusivity, reflexivity, and the recognition of diverse ways of knowing. Both share the same intellectual foundation—the pursuit of understanding—but differ profoundly in intent: one served empire, the other serves humanity. The similarities between colonized and decolonized research methodologies, as reflected in the given text, reveal that both share structural and intellectual continuities in their pursuit of understanding human societies, even though their purposes and orientations differ fundamentally. Both periods demonstrate a reliance on systematic inquiry, interpretation of human experiences, and the formulation of explanatory frameworks to understand social and cultural realities. However, while colonial methodologies used these processes to reinforce hierarchy and control, decolonial methodologies used them to restore equality and reclaim agency.

Firstly, both methodological dominance and methodological inclusivity focus on the structuring of research approaches—each emphasizing control over epistemic direction. During colonization, methodological dominance imposed Western frameworks as universal, excluding Indigenous voices. In contrast, methodological inclusivity sought to reintroduce those marginalized voices, integrating diverse epistemologies to balance global knowledge. Despite their opposing goals, both involved methodical systems for defining what counts as valid knowledge, illustrating that structured methodological frameworks remain central to both eras. Secondly, both priority disparity and community-centered priorities deal with the alignment

between research goals and community welfare. Under colonization, researchers prioritized personal and institutional interests, sidelining local needs. Decolonization reversed this by aligning research priorities with community benefit. Yet, in both, research maintained a close link with institutional and social structures, demonstrating that academic inquiry consistently interacts with systems of power and responsibility—either exploitative or emancipatory.

Thirdly, both colonial epistemology and pluralistic epistemology reveal a shared focus on defining and validating knowledge systems. Colonial epistemology privileged Western rationality, while decolonial pluralism expanded legitimacy to multiple epistemologies. Thus, both centered around epistemic validation—determining whose knowledge matters and why—showing that the struggle over intellectual authority persists across both contexts. Fourthly, both cultural context neglect and cultural context integration highlight the role of cultural understanding in shaping research. Colonial research ignored sociocultural dimensions, producing distorted generalizations. Decolonial research corrected this by integrating lived experiences, oral histories, and community narratives. Nonetheless, both shared an interest in understanding culture, though one misrepresented it while the other reinterpreted it authentically.

Finally, both interpretive bias and interpretive reflexivity underscore the researcher's role in shaping knowledge. Colonial researchers unconsciously imposed their biases, while decolonial scholars intentionally reflected on their positionality to prevent such distortions. Both recognized that interpretation is never neutral—knowledge is always influenced by the researcher's context. In summary, colonized and decolonized research methodologies share a common structural foundation: both depend on systematic analysis, interpretation, and categorization of knowledge. However, they differ profoundly in moral direction—colonial research used these structures to dominate and silence, whereas decolonial research reclaims and revoices. Thus, their similarity lies in their shared intellectual framework; their difference lies in whose truth they serve.

Differences between Colonial and Decolonial Research Approaches

During colonization, academic research was primarily shaped by imperial ideologies that sought to justify domination and resource exploitation. Knowledge production was centralized within colonial institutions, emphasizing Eurocentric frameworks and dismissing indigenous epistemologies as inferior or unscientific. Research focused on classification, control, and economic gain, reinforcing social hierarchies and legitimizing colonial authority. Methodologies prioritized objectivity, quantification, and Western rationalism while marginalizing the experiential and relational dimensions of knowledge inherent in indigenous systems.

In contrast, decolonization transformed academic research into an instrument of intellectual liberation and social reconstruction. Research became community-centered, participatory, and inclusive, recognizing indigenous perspectives and restoring suppressed knowledge systems. Scholars from formerly colonized nations sought to reclaim narrative authority by developing methodologies rooted in local realities, oral traditions, and cultural practices. The purpose shifted from serving imperial interests to promoting self-determination, cultural pride, and social justice. Knowledge production became pluralistic, reflexive, and collaborative, emphasizing mutual respect and the empowerment of marginalized voices.

Colonial academic systems were hierarchical and extractive, designed to collect and reinterpret local knowledge through Western scientific frameworks that excluded native scholars. Intellectual dominance was maintained through academic dependency and the institutionalization of Eurocentric standards. In contrast, decolonial research rejected such dependency, establishing autonomous academic institutions and policies that valued indigenous

epistemologies. This shift encouraged critical inquiry, innovation, and the indigenization of curricula, leading to the intellectual sovereignty of post-colonial societies.

During colonization, writing and communication were used as tools of control, standardizing expression through European languages and scripts while erasing native oral traditions and symbolic systems. Decolonization reversed this process by revalidating local languages and integrating oral and written traditions into scholarly practice. Writing became a means of resistance and cultural preservation, promoting linguistic diversity and ensuring that academic discourse reflected authentic cultural expressions. While colonial research justified inequality through distorted representations of race and culture, decolonial research dismantled these constructs by exposing their historical roots and re-centering the human experience within multicultural frameworks. The decolonial approach recognized every community as an active contributor to global knowledge, emphasizing interconnection rather than hierarchy. Consequently, the purpose of research evolved from domination to emancipation, from intellectual subjugation to cognitive justice, and from exclusion to equitable participation in global scholarship.

During colonization, research was characterized by methodological dominance, where Western scientific methods were imposed as the only valid means of inquiry. This approach dismissed indigenous epistemologies and reduced human experiences to narrow, measurable data, reinforcing intellectual hierarchies that privileged the colonizer's worldview. In contrast, decolonization introduced methodological inclusivity, integrating Indigenous, local, and scientific approaches within research frameworks. Decolonial scholars emphasized context-sensitive, participatory methods that reflected the richness of human experience and empowered local communities to shape and validate knowledge production. A key difference also lies in research priorities. Under colonial rule, academic inquiry reflected a priority disparity, where research served institutional or imperial interests rather than community well-being. Scholars pursued career recognition and administrative efficiency at the expense of ethical engagement with marginalized populations. Conversely, during decolonization, research shifted toward community-centered priorities, aligning scholarly goals with local needs and values. This inclusive model promoted collaboration, reciprocity, and empowerment, ensuring that research outcomes benefited the very communities involved rather than reinforcing external authority.

Colonial academia operated under a colonial epistemology, privileging Eurocentric systems of knowledge and dismissing Indigenous wisdom as unscientific or irrelevant. This epistemic dominance sustained colonial ideologies and marginalized diverse worldviews. In contrast, pluralistic epistemology emerged during decolonization, recognizing multiple forms of knowledge—scientific, indigenous, and experiential—as equally legitimate. This inclusive framework dismantled Eurocentric hierarchies, preserved cultural heritage, and promoted intellectual equity by validating local perspectives as integral to global understanding. The treatment of cultural context further distinguishes the two paradigms. Colonial research demonstrated cultural context neglect, relying heavily on quantitative data that dehumanized subjects and ignored the sociocultural dynamics shaping their realities. Such neglect perpetuated stereotypes and reinforced colonial narratives. Decolonial research, however, emphasized cultural context integration, adopting qualitative, participatory, and narrative methods that honored lived experiences and cultural identities. By centering context, language, and local traditions, decolonial scholars restored depth and authenticity to academic inquiry.

Finally, the colonial era was marked by interpretive bias, where researchers claimed objectivity but interpreted data through Eurocentric lenses that justified inequality and oppression. This bias silenced colonized voices and perpetuated misrepresentation under the

guise of scientific neutrality. Decolonization, by contrast, cultivated interpretive reflexivity, urging researchers to acknowledge their positionality and the socio-historical influences shaping interpretation. Reflexivity promoted transparency, equity, and inclusion by ensuring that diverse perspectives informed conclusions, thus transforming research into a collaborative and socially just enterprise.

Conclusion

The evolution of academic research from colonial to decolonial paradigms marks a profound intellectual and moral transformation in the global pursuit of knowledge. Colonial research, rooted in Eurocentric rationalism, served as a tool of domination—systematically marginalizing Indigenous epistemologies, legitimizing imperial hierarchies, and converting knowledge into an instrument of control. It imposed rigid methodologies that privileged quantification and objectivity while silencing the lived realities, cultural expressions, and wisdom of colonized societies. Despite contributing to the structural foundations of modern scholarship, colonial inquiry perpetuated inequality by defining intellectual legitimacy through exclusion.

In contrast, decolonial research reoriented academic inquiry toward inclusivity, equity, and epistemic justice. It reclaimed the authority of Indigenous knowledge systems, emphasized community participation, and celebrated cultural diversity as integral to global understanding. Through methodological inclusivity, reflexivity, and cultural contextualization, decolonial scholarship restored the moral dimension of research, transforming it from a mechanism of domination into a medium of empowerment. By integrating oral traditions, local epistemologies, and participatory practices, decolonial approaches not only challenged historical biases but also enriched the scope and depth of modern knowledge production. Ultimately, the transition from colonized to decolonized research underscores that the true purpose of scholarship lies not in the consolidation of power but in the democratization of knowledge. The decolonial paradigm affirms that all societies possess valuable ways of knowing, interpreting, and explaining the world. When research honors these diverse intellectual traditions, it advances both global understanding and human dignity. Thus, academic inquiry becomes a shared pursuit—one that transcends borders, corrects historical injustices, and redefines knowledge as a collective heritage of humanity.

Bibliography

1. Abdi, Ali A. "Oral Societies and Colonial Experiences: Sub-Saharan Africa and the De Facto Power of the Written Word." International Education 37, no. 1 (2007).
2. Akena, F. A. "Critical Analysis of the Production of Western Knowledge and Its Implications for Indigenous Knowledge and Decolonization." Journal of Black Studies 43, no. 6 (2012): 599–619.
3. Akter, Shahinoor, Jane Louise Rich, Kate Davies, and Kerry Jill Inder. "Reflexivity Conducting Mixed Methods Research on Indigenous Women's Health in Lower and Middle-Income Countries—An Example from Bangladesh." International Journal of Qualitative Methods 21 (2022).
4. Alatas, Syed Farid. "Academic Dependency and the Global Division of Labour in the Social Sciences." Current Sociology 51, no. 6 (2003): 599–613.
5. Ali, Suki. "Managing Racism? Race Equality and Decolonial Educational Futures." The British Journal of Sociology 73, no. 5 (2022): 923–941.
6. Allard-Tremblay, Yann. "Rationalism and the Silencing and Distorting of Indigenous Voices." Critical Review of International Social and Political Philosophy 24, no. 7 (2021): 1024–1047.

7. Anderson, Molly D., and Priscilla Settee. "Knowledge and Education for Peoples' Sovereignty." Globalizations 17, no. 7 (2020): 1300–1309.

8. Anderson, Peter, Zane M. Diamond, Thu Pham, Angela Beaza Peña, Carla Tapia, Levon Blue, Melanie Saward, et al. "Indigenous Rights-Based Approaches to Decolonising Research Methodologies in Settler Colonial Contexts." Frontiers in Research Metrics and Analytics 10 (2025): 1553208.

9. Anjum, Gulnaz, and Mudassar Aziz. "Advancing Equity in Cross-Cultural Psychology: Embracing Diverse Epistemologies and Fostering Collaborative Practices." Frontiers in Psychology 15 (2024).

10. Aslin, Richard N. "Reading and Writing Systems: Conveying and Archiving Language." Language Learning and Development 9, no. 4 (2013): 293–295.

11. Bartlett, Cheryl, Murdena Marshall, and Albert Marshall. "Two-Eyed Seeing and Other Lessons Learned within a Co-Learning Journey of Bringing Together Indigenous and

12. Mainstream Knowledges and Ways of Knowing." Journal of Environmental Studies and Sciences 2, no. 4 (2012): 331–340.

13. Battiste, Marie, and James (Sa'ke'j) Youngblood Henderson. "Chapter 5 Decolonizing Cognitive Imperialism in Education." In Protecting Indigenous Knowledge and Heritage: A Global Challenge, 86–96. University of British Columbia Press, 2000.

14. Caxaj, C. Susana. "Indigenous Storytelling and Participatory Action Research: Allies toward Decolonization? Reflections from the Peoples' International Health Tribunal." Global Qualitative Nursing Research 2 (2015).

15. Chambers, David Wade, and Richard Gillespie. "Locality in the History of Science: Colonial Science, Technoscience, and Indigenous Knowledge." Osiris 15 (2000): 221–240.

16. Cramer, Meta. "Colonial Scholars and Anti-Colonial Agents: Politics of Academic Knowledge Production Between the West Indies and London in the Mid-20th Century." Sociology Lens 36, no. 2 (2023): 208–222.

17. Dutta, Mohan Jyoti, Ambar Basu, Satveer Kaur-Gill, Debalina Dutta, Mahuya Pal, Iccha Basnyat, Selina Metuamate, et al. "Anticolonialism and Qualitative Methods for Culture-Centered Interventions." Journal of Communication 75, no. 4 (2025): 244–258.

18. Flores, Kenedy, Aniza Gadaza, Don Galdonez, Joemar Pihnuton, Ronie Soriano, and Alexander Jr Killip. "Decolonizing Pedagogy: Integrating Indigenous Knowledge and Philippine Historical Narratives in Higher Education Curricula." International Journal on Culture, History, and Religion 7, no. 1 (2025): 333–356.

19. Friedman, Norman L. "New Orders and Old: Historians, Educationists, and the Dynamics of Academic Imperialism." American Behavioral Scientist 9, no. 2 (1965): 24–29.

20. Garg, Rakesh. "Methodology for Research I." Indian Journal of Anaesthesia 60, no. 9 (2016): 640–645.

21. Gebremariam, E. B. "Decentering Coloniality: Epistemic Justice, Development Studies and Structural Transformation." European Journal of Development Research 37 (2025): 442–453.

22. Guha, Sumit. "Empires, Languages, and Scripts in the Perso-Indian World." Comparative Studies in Society and History 66, no. 2 (2024): 443–469.

23. Held, Mirjam B. E. "Decolonizing Research Paradigms in the Context of Settler Colonialism: An Unsettling, Mutual, and Collaborative Effort." International Journal of Qualitative Methods 18 (2019).

24. Holkup, Patricia A., Toni Tripp-Reimer, Emily Matt Salois, and Clarann S. Weinert. "Community-Based Participatory Research: An Approach to Intervention Research with a Native American Community." Advances in Nursing Science 27, no. 3 (2004): 162–175.

25. Jimenez-Luque, Antonio. "Decolonial Leadership for Cultural Resistance and Social Change: Challenging the Social Order through the Struggle of Identity." Leadership 17, no. 2 (2021): 154–172.

26. Kabir, Syed Muhammad Sajjad. Introduction to Research. July 2016. ResearchGate.

27. Kassis, Mudar, Rita Giacaman, and Maher Hashweh. "Decolonizing Knowledge Production: Perspective on Promotion and Tenure Regulations in Palestine and Beyond." Middle East Critique 31, no. 2 (2022): 105–124

28. Kato, D. S., A. Galamba, and B. A. P. Monteiro. "Decolonial Scientific Education to Combat 'Science for Domination'." Cultural Studies of Science Education 18 (2023): 217–235.

29. Keikelame, Mpoe Johannah, and Leslie Swartz. "Decolonising Research Methodologies: Lessons from a Qualitative Research Project, Cape Town, South Africa." Global Health Action 12, no. 1 (2019): 1561175.

30. Lee, Kevin Lujan, Ngoc T. Phan, Nolan Flores, Josiah Gabriel Mesngon, Aria Palaganas, Chauntae Quichocho, and Nikki Aubree San Agustin. "Decolonial Subjectivities in Participatory Action Research: Resident Researcher Experiences in the 2021 Guåhan Survey." Environment and Planning F 2, no. 1–2 (2023): 264–280.

31. Malli, Anisha, H. Monteith, E. C. Hiscock, E. V. Smith, K. Fairman, T. Galloway, and A. Mashford-Pringle. "Impacts of Colonization on Indigenous Food Systems in Canada and the United States: A Scoping Review." BMC Public Health 23, no. 1 (2023): 2105.

32. Meagher, Kate. "Decolonizing Development Studies: Rejecting or Repurposing the Master's Tools?" The European Journal of Development Research 37, no. 2 (2025): 407–420.

33. Mertens, Donna M. "Transformative Research Methods to Increase Social, Economic, and Environmental Impact." International Journal of Qualitative Methods 20 (2021): 1–12.

34. Mignolo, Walter D. "On the Colonization of Amerindian Languages and Memories: Renaissance Theories of Writing and the Discontinuity of the Classical Tradition." Comparative Studies in Society and History 34, no. 2 (1992): 301–330.

35. Naidu, Thirusha, Gareth Gingell, and Zareen Zaidi. "Decolonial Framework for Applying Reflexivity and Positionality in Global Health Research." Global Health Promotion 31, no. 2 (2024): 52–58.

36. Nanda, Joy P., Roger S. Clark, Jennifer Ayana Harrison, Pamela Ouyang, Cyd Lacanienta, and Cheryl Himmelfarb. "Community-Academic Partnerships to Embrace and Ensure Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion in Translational Science." Journal of Clinical and Translational Science 7, no. 1 (2023): e188.

37. Nanthambwe, Patrick. "Integrating African Indigenous Knowledge Systems (AIKSs) into Public Theology: Towards Contextualized Theological Engagement in Southern Africa." Religions 16, no. 7 (2025): 869.

38. Nasir, Muhammad, and Annur Indra Kusumadani. "Nurturing Argumentation Skills to Solve Complex Problems through Structured Scaffolding-Guided Inquiry (SSGI)." International Journal of Education in Mathematics, Science and Technology 12, no. 5 (2024): 1197–1216.

39. Nohl, Arnd-Michael. "Hidden Contexts, Multilevel Comparisons, and the Postcolonial Location: Reconsidering the Documentary Method Vis-à-Vis Decolonization." Qualitative Inquiry (2024).

40. Olko, Justyna. "Spaces for Participatory Research, Decolonization and Community Empowerment: Working with Speakers of Nahuatl in Mexico." Language Documentation and Description 16 (2019).

41. Quijano, Aníbal. "Coloniality and Modernity/Rationality." Cultural Studies 21, no. 2–3 (2007): 168–178.

42. Rabang, Nathan J., Amy E. West, Eric Kurtz, Jim Warne, and Vanessa Y. Hiratsuka. "Disability Decolonized: Indigenous Peoples Enacting Self-Determination." Developmental Disabilities Network Journal 3, no. 1 (2023): 11.

43. Reed, Ron, and Sibyl Diver. "Pathways to Healing: Indigenous Revitalization through Family-Based Land Management in the Klamath Basin." Ecology and Society 28, no. 1 (2023).

44. Rizzi, Valentina, and Daniel Barba-Rodríguez. "Virtualizing Bodies in Transformative Platforms of Corporeality: Embodied Visualisation over Flesh Boundaries." In IN-PRESENCE/THE BODY AND THE SPACE: The Role of Corporeity in the Era of Virtualization, 792–795. PUBLICA, 2024.

45. Rizvi, Syed Farjood Ailya, Muhammad Asghar, and Wardah Naeem Bukhari. "The Evolution of Visual Communication Design: The Role of the Colonial Printing Press in Lahore." Migration Letters 21, no. S14 (2024): 558–567.

46. Röger-Offergeld, Ulrike, Eva Kurfer, and Hans Peter Brandl-Bredenbeck. "Empowerment through Participation in Community-Based Participatory Research—Effects of a Physical Activity Promotion Project among Socially Disadvantaged Women." Frontiers in Public Health 11 (2023): 1205808.

47. Said, Edward W. Orientalism. New York: Vintage Books, 1979.

48. Sakkeer, V. "Empowering Higher Education: The Vital Role of Research Skills in Academic Excellence." International Journal of Educational Research and Development 5, no. 1 (2023): 56–58.

49. Sarstedt, Marko, and Nicholas P. Danks. "Prediction in HRM Research–A Gap between Rhetoric and Reality." Human Resource Management Journal 32, no. 2 (2022): 485–513.

50. Seroto, Johannes, and Philip Higgs. "African Indigenous Education in the Postcolonial Period: A Critical Reflection." Journal of Education (University of KwaZulu-Natal) 95 (2024): 148–168.

51. Shih, Cheng-Feng. "Academic Colonialism and the Struggle for Indigenous Knowledge Systems in Taiwan." Social Alternatives 29, no. 1 (2009): 44–47.

52. Simonds, Vanessa W., and Suzanne Christopher. "Adapting Western Research Methods to Indigenous Ways of Knowing." American Journal of Public Health 103, no. 12 (2013): 2185–2192.

53. Steeves, Paulette F. "Re-Claiming and Re-Writing the Past through Indigenous Voices and Worldviews." Revista de Arqueología Americana 38 (2020): 87–95.

54. Stevens-Uninsky, Maya, Najuwa Gallant, Tashreeq Chatting, Deborah D. DiLiberto, Russell de Souza, and Lawrence Mbuagbaw. "Re-Drawing the Map: A Case Study of Decolonized Research Methods & Methodologies." International Journal for Equity in Health 24, no. 1 (2025): 165.

55. Thambinathan, Vivetha, and Elizabeth Anne Kinsella. "Decolonizing Methodologies in Qualitative Research: Creating Spaces for Transformative Praxis." International Journal of Qualitative Methods 20 (2021).

56. Tyson, Lorena Sánchez. "'Learning to Read and Write Is to Defend Yourself': Exploring Indigenous Perspectives and Reimagining Literacies for Self-Determination in Mexico." International Journal of Educational Development 106 (2024): 102992.

57. Udah, Hyacinth. "Decolonising Research for Justice: Ethical Imperatives and Practical Applications." International Journal of Qualitative Methods 23 (2024).

58. Viatori, Maximilian, and Gloria Ushigua. "Speaking Sovereignty: Indigenous Languages and Self-Determination." Wicazo Sa Review 22, no. 2 (2007): 7–21.

59. Waubanascum, C., and M. Sarche. "So, We've Been Taken Away since Forever: Indigenous Relative Caregivers' Experiences as a Framework for Uncovering Coloniality in the Child Welfare System." Adversity & Resilience Science 4, no. 4 (2023): 343–361.

60. Yakushko, Oksana, Louis Hoffman, Melissa L. Morgan Consoli, and Gordon Lee. "On Methods, Methodologies, and Continued Colonization of Knowledge in the Study of 'Ethnic Minorities': Comment on Hall et al. (2016)." American Psychologist 71, no. 9 (2016): 890–891.