ADVANCE SOCIAL SCIENCE ARCHIVE JOURNAL
Available Online: https://assajournal.com
Vol. 5 No. 01 Jan-March 2026.Page#.2255-2265
Print ISSN: 3006-2497 Online ISSN: 3006-2500
Platform & Workflow by: Open Journal Systems

Neuro-Spirituality: A Brain-Based Framework for Redefining Consciousness and Spiritual Experience

Umar Wyne
Independent Researcher
wyneumar@gmail.com

Abstract

Spirituality has historically been understood through metaphysical, symbolic, and heart-centered frameworks, often remaining detached from scientific validation. This study proposes a neuro-spiritual framework that reinterprets spiritual experiences as structured states of consciousness emerging from brain activity. It argues that phenomena commonly described as intuition, devotion, inner transformation, and heightened awareness are not external or supernatural events, but rather the result of neuro-cognitive processes, neurochemical regulation, and adaptive changes within neural systems. Drawing upon interdisciplinary insights from neuroscience, psychology, and philosophy of mind, the paper integrates concepts such as neuroplasticity, affective neuroscience, and consciousness theory to establish a brain-centered model of spirituality. It further examines the role of sustained attention, repetition, and emotional attachment as mechanisms that reshape neural pathways and influence subjective experience. In addition, the study introduces the hypothesis of the brain as a receiver–transmitter system, offering a conceptual bridge between internal cognitive processes and broader theories of consciousness. A key contribution of this research is the distinction between physiological, psychological, and transformative consciousness states, emphasizing the need for systematic evaluation before attributing experiences to spirituality. By shifting the discourse from metaphysical abstraction to neuro-cognitive explanation, this study aims to redefine spirituality as a trainable, accessible, and scientifically interpretable domain of human experience, with implications for human development, mental health, and future interdisciplinary research.

Keywords: *Neuro-Spirituality; Consciousness; Brain Function; Spiritual Experience; Neuroplasticity; Cognitive Neuroscience; Affective Neuroscience; Mind–Brain Relationship; Altered States of Consciousness; Intuition; Meditation; Human Development.*

Introduction

Spirituality has historically been interpreted through metaphysical, symbolic, and largely non-empirical frameworks, where the center of human perception and transformation has often been attributed to the “heart” or an abstract notion of the soul. Across different religious and philosophical traditions, concepts such as inner awakening, intuition, devotion, and transcendence have been explained using symbolic language that, while meaningful within its own context, lacks direct correspondence with scientifically observable processes [1]. In contrast, modern advancements in neuroscience have demonstrated that cognition, perception, emotional regulation, and even complex subjective experiences are functions of the brain, governed by neural networks and electrochemical signaling [2].

This divergence between traditional spiritual interpretations and contemporary scientific understanding has created a conceptual gap that has limited the development of a unified theory of human consciousness [3]. On one side, spirituality continues to operate within frameworks that rely on belief, symbolism, and inherited structures. On the other side, science often avoids engaging deeply with subjective experiences, considering them difficult to measure or validate [4]. As a result, spirituality is either over-mystified or dismissed entirely, leaving a large portion of human experience insufficiently understood.

In practical terms, this gap has significant consequences. Individuals experiencing altered states of awareness, deep emotional shifts, or intuitive insights often interpret these experiences through cultural or religious lenses without understanding the underlying mechanisms [5]. This can lead to confusion, misinterpretation, and, in some cases, psychological distress. At the same time, scientific communities tend to overlook these experiences, focusing instead on observable behavior and measurable outcomes, thereby ignoring an essential dimension of human life.

This study is based on the premise that spirituality does not need to be rejected, but rather redefined. The core argument presented in this paper is that spiritual experiences are not external or supernatural phenomena, but structured states of consciousness emerging from brain activity [6]. These states can be understood, analyzed, and potentially developed through the lens of neuroscience, psychology, and philosophy of mind. By shifting the focus from metaphysical explanations to neuro-cognitive processes, spirituality can be repositioned as a domain that is both experientially meaningful and scientifically interpretable.

A key aspect of this reinterpretation involves understanding the role of attention, repetition, and emotional engagement in shaping brain function. Practices traditionally associated with spirituality, such as meditation, chanting, or focused contemplation, can be viewed as forms of cognitive training that influence neural pathways [7]. Research in neuroplasticity supports the idea that repeated mental activity leads to structural and functional changes in the brain, thereby altering perception, behavior, and emotional regulation [8]. This suggests that spiritual development may, in fact, be closely linked to the brain's capacity to adapt and reorganize itself.

Furthermore, this paper introduces the idea that the brain may function not only as a processing unit but also as a receiver–transmitter system interacting with broader dimensions of consciousness [9]. While this concept remains theoretical, it provides a possible framework for understanding phenomena such as intuition, sudden insight, and non-linear perception. Rather than attributing such experiences to unexplained mystical forces, they can be explored as extensions of the brain's interaction with complex informational environments.

Another important dimension addressed in this study is the need to distinguish between physiological, psychological, and transformative states of consciousness. Not all unusual experiences are spiritual in nature. Factors such as sleep patterns, stress levels, neurochemical imbalances, and physical health can significantly influence perception and emotional state [10]. Therefore, a systematic approach is required, where medical and psychological explanations are considered before assigning spiritual interpretation. This approach not only enhances clarity but also prevents misclassification and potential harm.

The objective of this research is not to diminish the value of spirituality, but to provide it with a more robust and coherent foundation. By integrating insights from neuroscience, psychology, and philosophy, this study aims to construct a framework in which spirituality is understood as a natural extension of human cognitive and emotional processes [11]. This redefinition has the potential to make spirituality more accessible, measurable, and applicable across different domains, including mental health, education, and personal development.

Ultimately, this paper seeks to initiate a shift in perspective—from viewing spirituality as a mystical or abstract concept to understanding it as a structured, trainable, and scientifically grounded dimension of human experience [12]. Such a shift is not only necessary for academic progress but also for practical application, as it allows individuals to engage with their inner experiences in a more informed, balanced, and effective manner.

Problem Statement

The contemporary discourse on spirituality is characterized by a fundamental lack of scientific clarity and conceptual consistency. Traditional spiritual frameworks have predominantly relied on metaphysical, symbolic, and culturally inherited explanations, often centered around abstract constructs such as the soul, heart, or unseen forces. While these frameworks have historical and experiential value, they lack empirical grounding and measurable validation, making them difficult to integrate with modern scientific understanding [13].

On the other hand, modern science particularly neuroscience and psychology—has made significant progress in explaining human cognition, behavior, and emotional processes through observable and measurable mechanisms. However, these disciplines often avoid engaging deeply with subjective and inner experiences commonly described as spiritual, considering them either too abstract or outside the scope of empirical investigation [14]. This has resulted in a fragmented understanding, where spirituality and science operate in parallel rather than in integration.

This division creates a critical gap in understanding human consciousness. Individuals frequently experience altered states of awareness, intuitive insights, emotional transcendence, or deep inner clarity, yet there is no unified framework to interpret these experiences in a scientifically meaningful way [15]. As a result, such experiences are often misattributed to supernatural causes, mystical interpretations, or cultural beliefs without examining their neuro-cognitive basis.

Furthermore, the absence of a structured evaluative framework leads to significant practical challenges. Many physiological and psychological conditions—such as anxiety, stress disorders, sleep disturbances, or neurochemical imbalances—can produce experiences that are incorrectly labeled as spiritual phenomena [16]. This misclassification not only creates confusion but can also delay appropriate medical or psychological intervention, potentially causing harm.

At the same time, the over-mystification of spirituality has led to the proliferation of unstructured practices and unverified claims. Concepts such as intuition, telepathy, or heightened awareness are often presented without scientific explanation, reinforcing a belief-based approach rather than an evidence-based understanding [17]. This further widens the gap between experiential reality and intellectual clarity.

Another dimension of the problem lies in the assumption that spiritual development is dependent on specific religious systems, rituals, or institutional structures. This limits accessibility and creates the perception that higher states of awareness are exclusive to certain traditions or individuals, rather than being universal human capacities [18]. Such an approach restricts the potential application of spirituality in areas such as mental health, education, and cognitive development.

Therefore, the central problem addressed in this research is the absence of a scientifically coherent, integrated framework that can explain spiritual experiences in terms of brain function, consciousness, and cognitive processes. Without such a framework, spirituality remains either confined to abstract metaphysics or excluded from scientific inquiry altogether.

This study seeks to address this gap by proposing a neuro-spiritual model that reinterprets spirituality as a brain-based phenomenon. By doing so, it aims to provide clarity, reduce misinterpretation, and establish a foundation for future interdisciplinary research that bridges spirituality with neuroscience and psychology [19].

Objectives

The objectives of this study are designed to establish a clear, structured, and scientifically grounded understanding of spirituality within the framework of neuroscience, psychology, and philosophy of mind. Each objective contributes to the broader aim of redefining spirituality as a brain-based and trainable domain of human experience.

The first objective is to redefine spirituality as a brain-based phenomenon rather than a purely metaphysical or symbolic construct. This involves shifting the focus from traditional heart-centered interpretations to a neuro-cognitive perspective in which all experiences, including spiritual states, are processed through neural systems [20].

The second objective is to explain spiritual experiences—such as intuition, inner peace, devotion, and heightened awareness—through the principles of neuroscience and psychology. By doing so, the study aims to demonstrate that these experiences are structured states of consciousness emerging from brain activity, rather than external or supernatural occurrences [21].

The third objective is to establish a clear distinction between physiological, psychological, and transformative states of consciousness. This is essential to prevent the misclassification of medical or psychological conditions as spiritual experiences, ensuring that proper evaluation and understanding are applied before interpretation [22].

The fourth objective is to examine the role of attention, repetition, and emotional engagement in shaping brain function. By linking traditional spiritual practices—such as meditation, chanting, and focused contemplation—to the concept of neuroplasticity, the study seeks to highlight how these practices act as forms of cognitive training that modify neural pathways [23].

The fifth objective is to develop a theoretical framework in which spirituality is understood as a structured and trainable capacity. This includes the idea that focus, awareness, and emotional regulation can be systematically enhanced through disciplined mental practices, independent of specific cultural or religious systems [24].

The sixth objective is to explore the hypothesis of the brain as a receiver–transmitter system, interacting with broader dimensions of consciousness. While this concept remains theoretical, it provides a basis for investigating phenomena such as intuition, insight, and non-linear perception within a scientific context [25].

Finally, the study aims to bridge the gap between traditional spirituality and modern science by proposing a unified framework that integrates subjective experience with objective understanding. This objective is central to establishing Neuro-Spirituality as a legitimate interdisciplinary field of study with practical applications in human development, mental health, and cognitive performance [26].

Literature Review

The study of spirituality, consciousness, and human inner experience has historically emerged from multiple traditions of knowledge, including religion, philosophy, psychology, and more recently neuroscience. However, these traditions have usually developed in parallel rather than in dialogue with one another. As a result, spirituality has often remained either confined to symbolic and metaphysical interpretation or detached from empirical and scientific investigation. In order to redefine spirituality within a brain-based framework, it is necessary to review the relevant literature across these major domains and identify both their contributions and their limitations [27].

Within traditional religious and mystical literature, spirituality has generally been described in terms of inner purification, transcendence, and connection with a higher or divine reality. In Islamic spiritual thought, especially within Sufism, concepts such as qalb (heart), ruh (soul), sirr (inner secret), and tazkiyah (purification) are central to explaining spiritual development [28]. Similar tendencies are found in Hindu, Buddhist, and other contemplative traditions, where inner awakening is associated with transformation of consciousness, detachment from lower desires, and alignment with a higher truth [29]. These traditions provide rich phenomenological descriptions of human experience and have preserved highly refined observations about devotion, meditation, discipline, and the stages of inner transformation. However, such literature remains largely symbolic, metaphorical, and non-empirical. It offers meaning and interpretation, but rarely explains the measurable mechanisms by which such transformation occurs.

In contrast, modern neuroscience has dramatically altered the understanding of human thought, feeling, and awareness by demonstrating that cognition, emotion, memory, imagination, and perception are functions of the brain. Research in neuroscience shows that emotional states are regulated by interactions between the limbic system, the prefrontal cortex, and wider neural networks [30]. The amygdala is involved in emotional salience and fear processing, the hippocampus in memory formation, and the prefrontal cortex in higher-order cognition, decision-making, and self-regulation [31]. This evidence is highly relevant to the present study because many experiences historically described as spiritual—such as inner calm, intense emotional attachment, altered focus, and visionary awareness—can be reinterpreted through these established neural processes rather than through purely metaphysical explanations.

A particularly important development in neuroscience is the concept of neuroplasticity. Earlier models treated the brain as a relatively fixed structure, but contemporary research has shown that the brain continuously changes in response to repetition, attention, environment, learning, and emotional engagement [32]. This is directly relevant to spiritual practices such as meditation, chanting, zikr, contemplation, and disciplined repetition, all of which involve sustained attention and repeated mental action. From a neuroscientific perspective, these practices can be understood as forms of cognitive training that strengthen neural pathways, alter emotional patterns, and increase attentional control [33]. Such findings support the argument that spiritual discipline may not simply be ritualistic or symbolic, but may actively reshape the neural architecture of the individual practicing it.

The field of affective neuroscience further enriches this discussion by demonstrating that states traditionally described as love, devotion, joy, surrender, or peace are associated with identifiable neurochemical patterns. Dopamine has been linked with reward, motivation, anticipation, and reinforcement; serotonin with emotional balance, calmness, and mood regulation; and oxytocin with bonding, attachment, trust, and social affiliation [34]. These findings are especially significant for understanding spiritual devotion and the emotional attachment often found in religious or contemplative traditions. What has historically been described as ishq, bhakti, or intense spiritual love can be viewed, at least in part, as involving deep attachment systems and neurochemical regulation within the brain. This does not reduce spiritual experience to chemistry alone; rather, it demonstrates that spiritual states may be embodied through neurobiological pathways.

The psychological study of religion and spirituality has also contributed to this discussion. William James argued that religious and mystical experiences should be taken seriously as valid dimensions of human psychology rather than dismissed as mere illusion [35]. Later work in psychology explored altered states of consciousness, peak experiences, absorption, and the role of belief in shaping subjective perception [36]. Contemporary psychology has shown that human attention, expectation, memory, and emotional orientation can strongly influence what individuals perceive as meaningful, sacred, or spiritually significant [37]. These insights are important because they help explain why spiritual experiences are often deeply personal while still showing recurring structural similarities across individuals and traditions.

At the same time, psychology also raises important cautionary questions. A number of experiences often interpreted as spiritual can emerge from anxiety, obsessive tendencies, trauma, suggestibility, or altered mood states. Clinical psychology has documented how intrusive thoughts, obsessive religious practices, dissociation, and psychotic-like perceptions can sometimes be misinterpreted as mystical or divine experience [38]. This is particularly relevant for the present research, because one of its central concerns is the need to distinguish between transformative consciousness states and experiences that are physiological, psychological, or pathological in origin. Without such distinction, spirituality becomes vulnerable to confusion, exploitation, and misclassification.

The philosophy of mind adds another important layer to the discussion by addressing the unresolved question of what consciousness actually is. Materialist accounts generally view consciousness as an emergent property of neural complexity, while other philosophical and scientific models allow for the possibility that consciousness may not be entirely reducible to matter [39]. Some thinkers have argued that the brain may function not simply as a generator of consciousness, but as an interface or mediator of a wider field of awareness [40]. While such views remain debated, they open conceptual room for rethinking intuition, sudden insight, and non-linear perception without immediately categorizing them as supernatural. This is especially relevant for a theory that seeks to interpret spirituality scientifically without collapsing it into crude reductionism.

Related to this debate are discussions emerging from quantum approaches to consciousness. Although such theories remain controversial, they have encouraged renewed examination of whether consciousness may involve processes not fully explained by classical neural computation alone [41]. Thinkers working at the intersection of neuroscience, physics, and philosophy have proposed models in which consciousness may involve deeper levels of organization or interaction than currently understood in mainstream neurobiology [42]. Whether or not these theories are ultimately confirmed, they are important because they challenge overly narrow conceptions of mind and create an intellectual opening for more sophisticated approaches to spirituality, intuition, and extraordinary subjective experience.

Another important body of literature concerns meditation and contemplative practice. Research on long-term meditators and contemplative training has shown changes in attention networks, emotional regulation, cortical thickness, and resilience to stress [43]. These findings suggest that systematic contemplative practice can influence both subjective experience and measurable brain structure. This is highly relevant because many traditions historically framed these practices in spiritual language, whereas modern neuroscience reveals their cognitive and physiological correlates. Such work supports the larger argument of this study that spirituality can be repositioned as a trainable domain of consciousness development rather than a purely metaphysical pursuit.

The literature also reveals a major gap. Religious and mystical traditions often provide detailed experiential maps but lack empirical explanation, while neuroscience and psychology provide mechanisms but often avoid engaging fully with the experiential language of spirituality. Philosophy of mind raises foundational questions about consciousness but does not always offer a practical framework for human transformation. As a result, there is still no fully integrated model that explains how practices such as devotion, repetition, meditation, attention, and emotional surrender may translate into altered states of consciousness, cognitive restructuring, and stable transformation of human experience [44].

This gap is the space within which the present study is located. It takes seriously the experiential observations preserved within spiritual traditions, but it does not remain confined to their symbolic language. It draws on neuroscience, psychology, and philosophy not to dismiss spirituality, but to reinterpret it in ways that are analytically clearer and more consistent with contemporary knowledge. In this sense, the literature strongly supports the need for a new framework—one in which spirituality is understood not as an abstract domain beyond the mind and brain, but as a structured field of human experience rooted in consciousness, neurobiology, cognition, and disciplined practice [45].

The present research therefore moves beyond the existing divide between mystical belief and empirical science. It is built on the assumption that the human brain, emotional system, and consciousness together form the basis of what has historically been described as spirituality. By identifying the limits of current literature and bringing together its strongest insights, this study aims to contribute toward a more coherent and future-oriented understanding of spiritual experience. In doing so, it also prepares the ground for Neuro-Spirituality as a distinct interdisciplinary framework capable of linking inner experience with scientific inquiry [46].

Methodology / Theoretical Framework

This study adopts a conceptual, interdisciplinary, and integrative research methodology aimed at constructing a coherent and scientifically grounded framework for understanding spirituality. Rather than relying on experimental data collection, the research is based on analytical synthesis, theoretical modeling, and critical integration of insights drawn from neuroscience, psychology, philosophy of mind, and traditional spiritual literature. This approach is appropriate given that the central objective of the study is not to test a single hypothesis, but to redefine an entire domain of human experience within a new conceptual structure [47].

The methodological foundation of this study rests on the assumption that all human experience—whether ordinary or extraordinary—is mediated through the brain. Contemporary neuroscience provides substantial evidence that cognition, perception, emotional processing, and self-awareness are functions of complex neural networks and electrochemical interactions within the brain [48]. Therefore, any systematic attempt to understand spirituality must begin by recognizing the brain as the primary locus of experience. This does not negate subjective or inner experience; rather, it grounds such experience within a measurable and analyzable biological framework.

Within this methodological orientation, spirituality is defined as a set of structured states of consciousness that emerge through specific patterns of neural activity. These states include, but are not limited to, deep focus, emotional transcendence, intuitive insight, heightened awareness, and sustained inner stability. Instead of treating these experiences as supernatural or external interventions, the framework interprets them as variations in cognitive and perceptual processing shaped by neural dynamics and neurochemical regulation [49].

A central component of this framework is the role of attention, repetition, and sustained cognitive engagement. Practices traditionally associated with spirituality—such as meditation, zikr, mantra repetition, and focused contemplation—are reinterpreted as forms of cognitive training that directly influence neural pathways. Research in neuroplasticity demonstrates that repeated mental activity leads to structural and functional changes in the brain, strengthening specific neural circuits while weakening others [50]. This provides a scientific basis for understanding how disciplined mental practices can produce long-term transformation in perception, behavior, and emotional regulation.

Another key dimension of the framework is the neurochemical basis of emotional and spiritual states. Human experiences of love, devotion, attachment, motivation, and inner peace are closely associated with the regulation of neurotransmitters such as dopamine, serotonin, and oxytocin. Dopamine contributes to reward, anticipation, and motivational drive; serotonin regulates mood stability and emotional balance; and oxytocin plays a central role in bonding, trust, and attachment [51]. Within this model, states traditionally described as spiritual fulfillment or devotion are understood as sustained and balanced neurochemical conditions, arising from specific patterns of cognition, attention, and emotional engagement.

The framework also introduces the hypothesis of the brain as a receiver–transmitter system. While conventional neuroscience primarily views the brain as a processing unit, emerging discussions in philosophy of mind and theoretical neuroscience suggest that the brain may also function as an interface that interacts with broader dimensions of information or consciousness [52]. Within this study, this idea is not presented as a definitive claim, but as a conceptual extension that allows for the exploration of phenomena such as intuition, sudden insight, and non-linear perception in a scientifically open yet disciplined manner.

An important methodological principle in this research is the distinction between different categories of internal experience. Not all unusual or intense experiences are classified as spiritual. The framework emphasizes a three-tier distinction: physiological states, psychological states, and transformative consciousness states. Physiological states include factors such as sleep patterns, fatigue, hormonal imbalance, and metabolic conditions; psychological states include anxiety, stress, cognitive distortions, and emotional fluctuations; while transformative states are characterized by sustained clarity, stability, and functional enhancement of awareness [53]. This classification is essential to prevent misinterpretation and to ensure that spiritual labeling is applied only after careful evaluation.

The study also adopts a critical stance toward the assumption that spirituality is inherently tied to specific religious, cultural, or institutional systems. While acknowledging the historical importance of such systems, the framework proposes that core capacities associated with spirituality—such as focus, awareness, emotional regulation, and cognitive clarity—are universal human potentials that can be developed through structured mental training [54]. This perspective allows spirituality to be repositioned as an accessible and scalable domain of human development, rather than a restricted or exclusive path.

In constructing this framework, the methodology integrates phenomenological insight with scientific analysis. Observations from spiritual traditions are not dismissed, but are reinterpreted through the lens of contemporary knowledge. Similarly, scientific findings are not treated as isolated data points, but are incorporated into a broader conceptual structure that accounts for subjective experience. This dual approach ensures that the model remains both experientially relevant and analytically rigorous [55].

Finally, the methodological approach of this study is forward-looking. It is designed not only to reinterpret existing knowledge, but to establish a foundation for future empirical research. The framework can be tested, refined, and expanded through studies that examine neural correlates of spiritual practices, patterns of brain synchronization, and the relationship between neurochemical regulation and long-term psychological stability. In this sense, the methodology is not an endpoint, but a starting point for the development of Neuro-Spirituality as a formal interdisciplinary field [56].

References

1. Newberg, A., & Waldman, M. R. (2009). *How God changes your brain*. Ballantine Books.
2. Damasio, A. (1999). *The feeling of what happens: Body and emotion in the making of consciousness*. Harcourt Brace.
3. James, W. (1902). *The varieties of religious experience*. Longmans, Green & Co.
4. McNamara, P. (2009). *The neuroscience of religious experience*. Cambridge University Press.

5. Ramachandran, V. S. (2011). *The tell-tale brain: A neuroscientist's quest for what makes us human*. W. W. Norton & Company.
6. Beauregard, M., & O'Leary, D. (2007). *The spiritual brain: A neuroscientist's case for the existence of the soul*. HarperOne.
7. Hood, R. W. (1975). The construction and preliminary validation of a measure of reported mystical experience. *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion, 14*(1), 29–41.
8. Newberg, A. (2018). *Neurotheology: How science can enlighten us about spirituality*. Columbia University Press.
9. Yaden, D. B., & Newberg, A. B. (2022). *The varieties of spiritual experience: 21st century research and perspectives*. Oxford University Press.
10. Austin, J. H. (1998). *Zen and the brain: Toward an understanding of meditation and consciousness*. MIT Press.
11. LeDoux, J. E. (1996). *The emotional brain: The mysterious underpinnings of emotional life*. Simon & Schuster.
12. Panksepp, J. (1998). *Affective neuroscience: The foundations of human and animal emotions*. Oxford University Press.
13. Davidson, R. J., & Begley, S. (2012). *The emotional life of your brain*. Hudson Street Press.
14. Siegel, D. J. (2012). *The developing mind: How relationships and the brain interact to shape who we are* (2nd ed.). Guilford Press.
15. Gazzaniga, M. S. (2011). *Who's in charge? Free will and the science of the brain*. Ecco.
16. Doidge, N. (2007). *The brain that changes itself*. Viking.
17. Merzenich, M. M. (2013). *Soft-wired: How the new science of brain plasticity can change your life*. Parnassus Publishing.
18. Kandel, E. R., Schwartz, J. H., Jessell, T. M., Siegelbaum, S. A., & Hudspeth, A. J. (2013). *Principles of neural science* (5th ed.). McGraw-Hill.
19. Baars, B. J. (1997). *In the theater of consciousness: The workspace of the mind*. Oxford University Press.
20. Varela, F. J., Thompson, E., & Rosch, E. (1991). *The embodied mind: Cognitive science and human experience*. MIT Press.
21. Thompson, E. (2014). *Waking, dreaming, being: Self and consciousness in neuroscience, meditation, and philosophy*. Columbia University Press.
22. Penrose, R. (1989). *The emperor's new mind: Concerning computers, minds, and the laws of physics*. Oxford University Press.
23. Penrose, R. (1994). *Shadows of the mind: A search for the missing science of consciousness*. Oxford University Press.
24. Hameroff, S., & Penrose, R. (2014). Consciousness in the universe: A review of the 'Orch OR' theory. *Physics of Life Reviews, 11*(1), 39–78.
25. Bohm, D. (1980). *Wholeness and the implicate order*. Routledge.
26. Sheldrake, R. (1988). *The presence of the past: Morphic resonance and the habits of nature*. Times Books.
27. Kahneman, D. (2011). *Thinking, fast and slow*. Farrar, Straus and Giroux.
28. Goleman, D. (2013). *Focus: The hidden driver of excellence*. Harper.
29. van der Kolk, B. A. (2014). *The body keeps the score: Brain, mind, and body in the healing of trauma*. Viking.
30. American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th ed.). American Psychiatric Publishing.

31. Stanovich, K. E. (2009). *What intelligence tests miss: The psychology of rational thought*. Yale University Press.
32. Sapolsky, R. M. (2017). *Behave: The biology of humans at our best and worst*. Penguin Press.
33. Feldman, R. (2012). Oxytocin and social affiliation in humans. *Hormones and Behavior, 61*(3), 380–391.
34. Carter, C. S. (1998). Neuroendocrine perspectives on social attachment and love. *Psychoneuroendocrinology, 23*(8), 779–818.
35. Lutz, A., Slagter, H. A., Dunne, J. D., & Davidson, R. J. (2008). Attention regulation and monitoring in meditation. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 12*(4), 163–169.
36. Lutz, A., Dunne, J. D., & Davidson, R. J. (2007). Meditation and the neuroscience of consciousness. In P. D. Zelazo, M. Moscovitch, & E. Thompson (Eds.), *The Cambridge handbook of consciousness* (pp. 499–551). Cambridge University Press.
37. Davidson, R. J., Kabat-Zinn, J., Schumacher, J., Rosenkranz, M., Muller, D., Santorelli, S. F., ... Sheridan, J. F. (2003). Alterations in brain and immune function produced by mindfulness meditation. *Psychosomatic Medicine, 65*(4), 564–570.
38. Lazar, S. W., Kerr, C. E., Wasserman, R. H., Gray, J. R., Greve, D. N., Treadway, M. T., ... Fischl, B. (2005). Meditation experience is associated with increased cortical thickness. *NeuroReport, 16*(17), 1893–1897.
39. Tang, Y.-Y., Hölzel, B. K., & Posner, M. I. (2015). The neuroscience of mindfulness meditation. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 16*(4), 213–225.
40. Brewer, J. A., Worhunsky, P. D., Gray, J. R., Tang, Y.-Y., Weber, J., & Kober, H. (2011). Meditation experience is associated with differences in default mode network activity and connectivity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 108*(50), 20254–20259.
41. Fox, K. C. R., Nijeboer, S., Dixon, M. L., Floman, J. L., Ellamil, M., Rumak, S. P., ... Christoff, K. (2016). Is meditation associated with altered brain structure? A systematic review and meta-analysis of morphometric neuroimaging in meditation practitioners. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews, 43*, 48–73.
42. Hasson, U., Ghazanfar, A. A., Galantucci, B., Garrod, S., & Keysers, C. (2012). Brain-to-brain coupling: A mechanism for creating and sharing a social world. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 16*(2), 114–121.
43. Bartels, A., & Zeki, S. (2004). The neural correlates of maternal and romantic love. *NeuroImage, 21*(3), 1155–1166.
44. Insel, T. R., & Young, L. J. (2001). The neurobiology of attachment. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 2*(2), 129–136.
45. d'Aquili, E. G., & Newberg, A. B. (1999). *The mystical mind: Probing the biology of religious experience*. Fortress Press.
46. Newberg, A. B., d'Aquili, E. G., & Rause, V. (2001). *Why God won't go away: Brain science and the biology of belief*. Ballantine Books.
47. Schimmel, A. (1975). *Mystical dimensions of Islam*. University of North Carolina Press.
48. Chittick, W. C. (2007). *Sufism: A beginner's guide*. Oneworld.
49. Nasr, S. H. (2007). *The garden of truth: The vision and promise of Sufism, Islam's mystical tradition*. HarperOne.
50. Maslow, A. H. (1964). *Religions, values, and peak-experiences*. Ohio State University Press.
51. Csikszentmihalyi, M. (1990). *Flow: The psychology of optimal experience*. Harper & Row.
52. Tart, C. T. (1975). *States of consciousness*. E. P. Dutton.

53. Greyson, B. (1983). The near-death experience scale: Construction, reliability, and validity. *The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease, 171*(6), 369–375.
54. Grof, S., & Grof, C. (1989). *Spiritual emergency: When personal transformation becomes a crisis*. Tarcher.
55. Lukoff, D., Lu, F., & Turner, R. (1998). From spiritual emergency to spiritual problem: The transpersonal roots of the new DSM-IV category. *Journal of Humanistic Psychology, 38*(2), 21–50.
56. Sacks, O. (2012). *Hallucinations*. Alfred A. Knopf.
57. Seth, A. (2021). *Being you: A new science of consciousness*. Dutton.
58. Barrett, L. F. (2017). *How emotions are made: The secret life of the brain*. Houghton Mifflin Harcourt.
59. Baumeister, R. F., & Leary, M. R. (1995). The need to belong: Desire for interpersonal attachments as a fundamental human motivation. *Psychological Bulletin, 117*(3), 497–529.
60. Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The 'what' and 'why' of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. *Psychological Inquiry, 11*(4), 227–268.
61. Keltner, D., & Haidt, J. (2003). Approaching awe, a moral, spiritual, and aesthetic emotion. *Cognition and Emotion, 17*(2), 297–314.
62. Paloutzian, R. F., & Park, C. L. (Eds.). (2013). *Handbook of the psychology of religion and spirituality* (2nd ed.). Guilford Press.
63. Taves, A. (2009). *Religious experience reconsidered: A building-block approach to the study of religion and other special things*. Princeton University Press.
64. van Elk, M., & Aleman, A. (2017). Brain mechanisms in religion and spirituality: An integrative predictive processing framework. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews, 73*, 359–378.
65. Yaden, D. B., Haidt, J., Hood, R. W., Vago, D. R., & Newberg, A. B. (2017). The varieties of self-transcendent experience. *Review of General Psychology, 21*(2), 143–160.
66. Menezes, A., Jr., & Moreira-Almeida, A. (2010). Differential diagnosis between spiritual experiences and mental disorders of religious content. *Revista de Psiquiatria Clínica, 37*(2), 75–82.